REQUEST FOR COUNCIL ACTION

Date: November 9, 2020 Item No.: 7.c

Department Approval

City Manager Approval

Michelle Betrick

Item Description: Review the 2021 Utility Rate Adjustments

BACKGROUND

Unlike many city services that are supported by property taxes, our utility or *enterprise* operations are funded primarily by user fees and are operated as separate, stand-alone functions. Over the past several months, city staff has been reviewing the City's utility operations to determine what customer rate adjustments are necessary for 2021. The City engaged Ehlers to perform a comprehensive utility rate study of the Water and Storm Drainage Funds based on the cash situations in these two funds.

Operational Review

Water Fund Operations

At December 31, 2019, the Water Fund had to internally borrow \$1,234,554 from other funds to eliminate the cash deficit. This fund has had a steadily declining cash balance for the past four years. Staff identified that the rate setting formula was changed in 2014 which unfortunately has an error when converting the water purchased from St. Paul from cubic feet to the Roseville system which is billed in gallon units. In addition, the capital projects done for the water system have exceed the averages used in the formula. We engaged Ehlers to perform a utility study on this fund which was presented at the October 19th Council meeting.

Ehlers presented two separate options, both introduce new water usage tier rates for Residential, Commercial and Apartment properties. Both options realign who pays for water versus who uses water to provide more equity and the definition of fixed costs versus variable costs adheres to the industry standards. Option 2 provides for an average of capital costs to be included in the fixed costs, which is more in line with our current structure. Option 1 would put the average capital costs on the usage/variable side of the rates.

Storm Drainage Operations

The City provides for the management of storm water drainage to prevent flooding and pollution control, as well as the street sweeping program. The cash balance in this fund had been steadily declining so this fund was included in the utility rate study done by Ehlers. The study showed that the current rate structure is equitable and needs a steady increase of 4% for the next four years to build up some reserves to pay for the capital needs in this fund. After four years, the rate increases will level off to about 1% annually thereafter. Staff will continue to monitor this fund and the needed capital projects.

Sanitary Sewer Operations

 The City maintains a sanitary sewer collection system to ensure the general public's health and general welfare. For 2021, the operational costs are expected to decrease by 2.84% mainly as a result of a decrease in the costs charged by the Metropolitan Council Environmental Services Division (MCES). While they have increased costs of treatment, the City's share of flow has decreased the overall cost to the City of Roseville. The capital outlay that is factored into the rates is based on a 5 year average and that number has increased from \$958,000 to \$1,349,000 based on a correction in that formula linkage.

The Base rates require a 3.5% increase to cover the fixed costs which are operational and capital only. The usage rates require a modest 1.92% increase to cover the MCES total costs. See Attachment B for the rate analysis.

Recycling Operations

The recycling operation provides for the contracted curbside recycling pickup throughout the City and related administrative costs. The primary operating cost is the amounts paid to a contractor to pick up recycling materials. 2020 had significant increases as a result of higher contractor costs. The market for recycled materials is a global one, and it had declined significantly during the prior year. For 2021, which is the last year of our current contract, the City has budgeted a 3.74% increase in the contract costs. A customer rate increase from \$9 per quarter to \$9.36 (4%) has been proposed to accommodate the higher costs. See Attachment B for the rate analysis.

Recommended Rates for 2021

The following table provides a detailed breakdown of the proposed rates including the rate structure which depicts how the operating cost burden is distributed to various customer types. The table also shows the Water rate options from the Ehlers Utility Rate Study.

	2020	2021		Ehlers Utility	y Rate Study	
Water Base Rate Category	Rate	Rate	Comments	Option 1	Option 2	
Single-Family Residential	\$ 62.10	\$ 63.34	Standard SF rate	18.88	34.57	
Single-Family Residential: Low-Income Discount	40.35	41.17	Standard SF rate x 0.65	18.88	34.57	**
Non-SF Residential (5/8" Meter)	62.10	63.34	Standard SF rate	18.88	34.57	
Non-SF Residential (1.0" Meter)	77.65	79.20	Standard SF rate x 1.25	47.20	86.43	
Non-SF Residential (1.5" Meter)	124.00	126.48	Standard SF rate x 2.00	94.40	172.85	
Non-SF Residential (2.0" Meter)	233.00	237.66	Standard SF rate x 3.75	151.04	276.56	
Non-SF Residential (3.0" Meter)	465.80	475.12	Standard SF rate x 7.50	302.08	553.12	
Non-SF Residential (3.0" Compound Meter)				330.40	604.98	
Non-SF Residential (4.0" Meter)	931.65	950.28	Standard SF rate x 15.00	472.00	864.25	
Non-SF Residential (4.0" Compound Meter)				566.40	1,037.10	
Non-SF Residential (6.0" Meter)	1,758.00	1,900.62	Standard SF rate x 30.00	1,510.40	2,765.60	
** Low Income Discount on sewer only						

	2020	2021		Ehlers Utility	Rate Study
Water Usage Rate Category	Rate	Rate	Comments	Option 1	Option 2
SF Residential: Up to 15,000 gals./qtr	<u>IXALC</u>	<u> Kate</u>	Comments	3.90	3.18
SF Residential: 15,001 to 30,000 gals./qtr	\$ 2.30	\$ 3.45	Standard SF rate	4.88	3.98
SF Residential: Over 30,000 gals./qtr (winter rate)	2.55	3.80	Standard SF rate +10%	6.10	4.98
SF Residential: Over 30,000 gals./qtr (winter rate)		4.15	Standard SF rate +20%	6.10	4.98
Apartments: Up to 8,000 gals/unit/qtr	2.13	4.13	per unit basis	3.90	3.18
Apartments: 8,001-15,000 gals/unit/qtr			per unit basis	4.88	3.98
Apartments: Over 15,000 gals./unit/qtr			per unit basis	6.10	4.98
Commercial: Up to 60,0000 gals./qtr			per unit basis	3.90	3.18
Commercial: 60,001 to 400,0000 gals./qtr				4.88	3.98
Commercial: Over 400,000 gals./qtr				6.10	4.98
Non-SF Residential (winter rate)	3.00	4.50	Standard SF rate +30%	0.10	4.98
Non-SF Residential (summer rate)	3.20	4.84	Standard SF rate +40%	4.07	4.50
Irrigation Water Usage				4.97	4.50
Rates are per 1,000 gallons					
Tattes are per 1,000 gamons	2020	2021			
Sewer Base Rate Category	Rate	Rate	Comments		
Single-Family Residential	\$ 40.25	\$ 41.66	Standard SF rate		
Single-Family Residential: Low-Income Discount	26.15	27.08	Standard SF rate x 0.65		
Multi-Family Residential (townhomes)	40.25	41.66	Standard SF rate x 1.00		
Multi-Family Residential (apartments & condos)	28.25	29.24	Standard SF rate x 0.70		
Non-SF Residential (5/8" Meter)	30.10	31.15	Standard SF rate x 0.75		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	60.25	62.36	Standard SF rate x 1.50		
Non-SF Residential (1.0" Meter) Non-SF Residential (1.5" Meter)	90.40	93.56	Standard SF rate x 1.30 Standard SF rate x 2.25		
		145.83	Standard SF rate x 3.50		
Non-SF Residential (2.0" Meter) Non-SF Residential (3.0" Meter)	140.90 291.85	302.06	Standard SF rate x 7.25		
			Standard SF rate x 7.25 Standard SF rate x 14.50		
Non-SF Residential (4.0" Meter)	583.70	604.13			
Non-SF Residential (6.0" Meter)	1,167.40	1,208.26	Standard SF rate x 29.00		
Multi-family rate is per housing unit					
·					
	2020	2021			
Sewer Usage Rate Category	Rate	Rate	Comments		
Residential	\$ 2.60	\$ 2.65	Standard rate		
Non-Residential	6.00	6.12	Standard rate x 2.30		
Rates are per 1,000 gallons					
	2020	2021			
Stormwater Base Rate Category	Rate	Rate	<u>Comments</u>		
Single-Family Residential & Duplex	\$ 14.25	\$ 14.54	Standard SF rate	2021 rates ag	gree with Ehlers
Multi-Family & Churches	110.30	112.51	Standard SF rate x 7.75		
Cemeteries & Golf Course	10.70	10.91	Standard SF rate x 0.75		
Parks	33.20	33.86	Standard SF rate x 2.35		
Schools & Community Centers	53.65	54.72	Standard SF rate x 3.75		
Commercial & Industrial	220.50	224.91	Standard SF rate x 15.50		
Rates for single-family are per housing unit; all oth	ers are per	acre			
	2020	2021			
Recycling Rate Category		2021 <u>Rate</u>	Comments		
Single-Family	Rate \$ 9.00		Comments Standard rate		
3013/1C=C4HHIV	⊅ 9.00	⊅ 9.30	Statiuatu tale	1	
Multi-Family	9.00	9.30	Standard rate		

Home for all four utility funds is as follows:

	1117 110III	e (Quarterly)		
	Old Model		Ehlers	Ehlers
<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>	\$ Increase	Option 1	Option 2
62.10	63.34	1.24	18.88	34.57
27.60	41.40	13.80	46.80	38.16
40.25	41.66	1.41	41.66	41.66
28.60	29.15	0.55	29.15	29.15
14.25	14.54	0.29	14.54	14.54
9.00	9.36	0.36	9.36	9.36
\$ 181.80	\$ 199.45	\$ 17.65	\$ 160.39	\$ 167.44
		9.71%	-11.78%	-7.90%
	62.10 27.60 40.25 28.60 14.25 9.00	2020 2021 62.10 63.34 27.60 41.40 40.25 41.66 28.60 29.15 14.25 14.54 9.00 9.36	2020 2021 \$ Increase 62.10 63.34 1.24 27.60 41.40 13.80 40.25 41.66 1.41 28.60 29.15 0.55 14.25 14.54 0.29 9.00 9.36 0.36 \$ 181.80 \$ 199.45 \$ 17.65	2020 2021 \$ Increase Option 1 62.10 63.34 1.24 18.88 27.60 41.40 13.80 46.80 40.25 41.66 1.41 41.66 28.60 29.15 0.55 29.15 14.25 14.54 0.29 14.54 9.00 9.36 0.36 9.36 \$ 181.80 \$ 199.45 \$ 17.65 \$ 160.39

 An annual review of the City's utility rate structure is consistent with governmental best practices to ensure that each utility operation is financially sound.

FINANCIAL IMPACTS

POLICY OBJECTIVE

See above.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the rate adjustments as shown in the report and recommends the Ehlers option 2 for the Water Utility rates. The Public Works, Environment & Transportation Commission reviewed and recommended the Ehlers option 2 for the water rates. The Finance Commission will review the utility rates on November 10th.

Staff recommends the City Council adopt one of the two proposed utility rate models for the Water Fund.

REQUESTED COUNCIL ACTION

The material in this report is provided for infromation only at this point. Final consideration of the utility rates will occur at the December 7 City Council meeting. However, the City Council should provide direction to staff on what water rate option (Ehlers Option 1 or Ehlers Option 2) staff should bring forward for final consideration at that meeting.

Prepared by: Michelle Pietrick, Finance Director

Attachments: A: 2021 Rate Calculation Worksheets for Utility Funds

Fixed Costs Analysis 2020 Budget

Water Fund Fixed Costs

	Description	A	Mount	Totals
1	Personnel Expenses			
2	Salaries & Benefits	\$	698,280	\$ 698,280
3	Other Operating Expenses			
4	Office Supplies		200	
5	Telephone		5,000	
6	Postage		8,000	
7	Printing		100	
8	Insurance		55,000	
9	Contract Maintenance		56,800	
10	Memberships & Subscriptions		2,300	 127,400
11	Operating Transfers Out			
12	Admin Charge to General Fund		385,000	 385,000
13	Less: Wholesale Portion per Cost of Service Analysis			
14	City of Arden Hills		(127,295)	 (127,295)
15	TOTAL FIXED AND METER COSTS - WATER FUND			\$ 1,083,385
16	Capital Improvement Costs			
17	5-Year Average Costs		900,000	 900,000
18	TOTAL FIXED COSTS INCLUDING CAPITAL - WATER FU	IND		\$ 1,983,385

	Fixed Charge Options											
	Existing Charges	2020 Quarterly Rate	Number of Units/Meters	Annual Revenue	Grand Total	% of Fixed Costs						
1	Fixed Rate											
2	5/8 inch & 3/4 inch	62.10	9,608	2,386,627								
3	1 Inch	77.65	248	77,029								
4	1.5 Inch	124.00	198	98,208								
5	2.0 Inch	233.00	143	133,276	-							
6	3.0 Inch	465.80	56	104,339								
7	4.0 Inch	931.65	1	3,727								
8	6.0 Inch	1,758.00	4	28,128	\$ 2,831,334	261.3%						
	Note: Accounts with multiple meters may o	only be charged for 1 meter				-						

	Option #1: 100% of Fixed Costs in Fixed Charges											
	RATE STRUCTURE OPTIONS	Number of	Max Flow	Equivalent	Quarterly	Annual						
	RATE STRUCTURE OPTIONS	Meters	(gpm)	Ratio	Charge	Revenues						
1	Fixed Rate											
2	5/8 inch & 3/4 inch	9,624	20	1.0	\$ 18.88	\$ 726,804						
3	1.0 Inch	301	50	2.5	47.20	56,829						
4	1.5 Inch	273	100	5.0	94.40	103,085						
5	2.0 Inch	120	160	8.0	151.04	72,499						
6	2.0 Inch - Compound	43	160	8.0	151.04	25,979						
7	3.0 Inch	20	320	16.0	302.08	24,166						
8	3.0 Inch - Compound	36	350	17.5	330.40	47,578						
9	4.0 Inch	-	500	25.0	472.00	-						
10	4.0 Inch - Compound	1	600	30.0	566.40	2,266						
11	6.0 Inch	4	1,600	80.0	1,510.40	24,166						
12	TOTALS	10,422				\$ 1,083,372						
13	100% TOTAL FIXED AND METER COSTS	- WATER FUND				\$ 1,083,385						

	Option #2: Fixed Rates Include Capital Costs											
	RATE STRUCTURE OPTIONS	Number of Meters	Max Flow (gpm)	Equivalent Ratio	Quarterly Charge	Annual Revenues						
1	Fixed Rate		(0)									
2	5/8 inch & 3/4 inch	9,624	20	1.0	\$ 34.57	\$ 1,330,807						
3	1.0 Inch	301	50	2.5	86.43	104,062						
4	1.5 Inch	273	100	5.0	172.85	188,752						
5	2.0 Inch	120	160	8.0	276.56	132,749						
6	2.0 Inch - Compound	43	160	8.0	276.56	47,568						
7	3.0 Inch	20	320	16.0	553.12	44,250						
8	3.0 Inch - Compound	36	350	17.5	604.98	87,117						
9	4.0 Inch	-	500	25.0	864.25	-						
10	4.0 Inch - Compound	1	600	30.0	1,037.10	4,148						
11	6.0 Inch	4	1,600	80.0	2,765.60	44,250						
12	TOTALS	10,422				\$ 1,983,702						
13	TOTAL FIXED COSTS INCLUDING CAPITA	AL - WATER FUND				\$ 1,983,385						



City of Roseville

Storm Sewer Rate Analysis

2021

Total Costs	<u>2021</u>	
Personnel Services	\$ 463,065	
Supplies & Maintenance	88,340	
Other Charges	822,800	
Less Depreciation	(480,000)	
Capital Outlay	1,168,700	** Switched to 5-Year CIP amortization in 2019 to better approximate actual cash flow needs
	Total \$2,062,905	= Amount to recover from rates

		_	Curren	t Rates]	Proposed Rates		
	# of	# of Lots /		Base Fee		% Increase	Base Fee	
	<u>Customers</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	Base Fee	Revenue	Base Fee	(decrease)	Revenue	
Single Family & Duplex	9,741	9,741	14.25	\$ 138,809	14.54	2.04%	\$ 141,634	
Multi-Family & Churches	n/a	429	110.30	47,319	112.51	2.00%	48,267	
Cemeteries & Golf Course (a)	n/a	9	10.70	96	10.91	1.96%	98	
Parks (b)	n/a	1	33.20	33	33.86	1.99%	34	
Schools & Comm. Centers (c)	n/a	176	53.65	9,442	54.72	1.99%	9,631	
Commercial & Industrial	n/a	1,418	220.50	312,669	224.91	2.00%	318,922	
		11,774		508,369			\$ 518,586	

Total Annual Revenue \$2,033,475 \$ 2,074,344

Total Costs to Recoup 2,062,905 12/31/19 Cash Balance = \$0 Revenue over (under) Costs 11,439 (loaned %

Total Annual Revenue 2,074,344
Amount Needed for Operations
Amount Available for Capital \$ 1,180,139

City of Roseville

Capital Outlay

Sanitary Sewer Rate Analysis

2021

Total Fixed Costs	<u>2021</u>
Personnel Services	\$ 508,830
Supplies & Maintenance	44,700
Other Charges (net of sewer treatment)	1,052,210
Less Depreciation	(456,000)

1,349,000 ** Switched to 5-Year CIP amortization in 2019 to better approximate actual cash flow needs-in 2019 Cmiller had this linked to the Non-MSA CIP sheet

Total \$2,498,740 = Amount to recover from <u>BASE</u> rates

			Cur	rent		Proposed		Cur	Current		Proposed	
	# of	Avg.		Base Fee		% Increase	Base Fee	Fee per	Usage Fee	Fee per	% Increase	Usage Fee
	Customers	Usage (a)	Base Fee	Revenue	Base Fee	(decrease)	Revenue	1,000 gals.	Revenue	1,000 gals.	(decrease)	Revenue
Single-Family Residential	9,469	11	40.25	381,127	41.66	3.50%	394,479	2.60	270,813	2.65	1.92%	276,021
Residential - Apts & Condos: Accts. (b)	212	232.32						2.60	128,057	2.65	1.92%	130,519
Residential - Apts & Condos: Units (b)	6,616		28.25	186,902	29.24	3.50%	193,452					
Non-residential												
5/8" Meter or 3/4" Meter	116	17.12	30.10	3,492	31.15	3.49%	3,613	6.00	11,916	6.12	2.00%	12,154
1.0" Meter	177	67.28	60.25	10,664	62.36	3.50%	11,038	6.00	71,453	6.12	2.00%	72,882
1.5" Meter	112	131.96	90.40	10,125	93.56	3.50%	10,479	6.00	88,674	6.12	2.00%	90,447
2.0" Meter	96	220.11	140.90	13,526	145.83	3.50%	14,000	6.00	126,783	6.12	2.00%	129,319
3.0" Meter	34	299.26	291.85	9,923	302.06	3.50%	10,270	6.00	61,049	6.12	2.00%	62,269
4.0" Meter	1	496.75	583.70	584	604.13	3.50%	604	6.00	2,981	6.12	2.00%	3,040
6.0" Meter		-	1,167.40	-	1,208.26	3.50%	<u>-</u> _					
	16,833			616,343		•	637,934		761,724			776,652
		Total Ann	nual Revenue	2,465,372			2,551,736		3,046,898			3,106,608

⁽a) Usage is in 1,000's of gals. SF Res. usage is based on average WINTER usage

Total Costs to Recoup	2,498,740
Revenue over (under) Costs	52,996
Total Annual Revenue	2,551,736

Amount Needed for Operations (1,149,740) Amount Available for Capital 1,401,996 Total Costs to Recoup 2,982,790
Revenue over (under) Costs 123,818

12/31/19 c

Total Variable Costs

Total Wastewater Treatment Cost

2,982,790

⁽b) Total UB Accounts = 189, total units for base revenue calculation = 5,976

^{**} Multi-Family & Condo are charged a single property base fee plus a separate fee for each housing unit.

^{**} based on Met Council email dated 8/4/2020

City of Roseville

Attachment A

Solid Waste Recycling Rate Analysis 2021

Total Costs		<u>20</u>	21	
Personnel Services		\$ 4	11,785	
Supplies & Maintenance			-	
Other Charges: Miscellaneous		2	24,760	
Contractor Costs: Curbside		57	71,313	
Contractor Costs: Parks			5,233	
Contractor Costs: Park Pathways			7,371	
Contractor Costs: Clean-Up Day		1	10,000	\$ 598,917 = Total Contractor Costs
Contractor Costs: Shredding Day			5,000	
	Total	\$ 66	55,462	= Amount to recover from rates

Single Family
Multi family
Opt-Ins
Applewood Service
Revenue Sharing from Contractor (quarterly)
Ramsey Co. SCORE Grant (quarterly)

	Curren	t Rates	Proposed Rates			
# of Customers	1	Base Fee		% Increase	Base Fee	
(Units)	Base Fee	Revenue	Base Fee	(decrease)	Revenue	
9,420	9.00	84,780	9.36	4.00%	88,171	
6,331	9.00	56,979	9.36	4.00%	59,258	
20	9.00	180	9.36	4.00%	187	
1	60.00	60	60.00	0.00%	60	
		_			_	
	21,875		_	21,875		
Total Quarterly Revenue		163,874			169,552	
Total Annual Revenue		655,496			678,206	

Total Costs to Recoup 665,462

Revenue over (under) Costs 12,744