

**From:** [noreply@civicplus.com](mailto:noreply@civicplus.com)  
**To:** \*RVCouncil; Dawn O'Connor; Pat Trudgeon  
**Subject:** Online Form Submittal: Contact City Council  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 10:29:08 AM

---

**Caution:** This email originated outside our organization; please use caution.

## Contact City Council

Please complete this online form and submit.

---

Subject Short-Term Rentals

---

### Contact Information

---

Name: Mary Beth Hess

---

Address: [REDACTED]

---

City: Roseville

---

State: MN

---

Zip: 55113

---

This form goes to the Mayor, all Councilmembers and certain City Staff. Due to the volume of emails submitted, a personal reply is not always possible.

---

How would you prefer to be contacted? No Reply Necessary  
Remember to fill in the corresponding contact information.

---

Email Address: [REDACTED]

---

Phone Number: [REDACTED]

---

Please Share Your Comment, Question or Concern

Thank you for researching and discussing the short-term rental situation in Roseville. Your discussion and decision on this sensitive issue is very important to hundreds of Roseville residents.

A few things for you to consider:

1. What will be favorable for the most Roseville residents? A BAN on all short-term rentals in Roseville would impact fewer

than 12 people, according to the September 28, 2020 document, Attachment F. By allowing short-term rentals in Roseville, hundreds of families are impacted in a negative way.

2. The number of guests in a short-term rental isn't the only issue to consider. In our situation, we live 5 feet away from an Airbnb and even 4 renters can disrupt our otherwise lovely neighborhood. If a total BAN isn't going to be considered, it makes sense to have a minimum 30-day rental. Anything less than 30 days creates a party, resort-type of atmosphere. People are renting a home on a lake in Minnesota so that they can enjoy the lake, the yard, etc., and if they are only renting a few days, those few days are PARTY days. If they are renting a minimum of 30 days, they are a more "typical" neighbor, and will be less apt to party hearty every day/night that they are renting.

3. There ARE people that are interested in short-term rentals for a minimum of 30 days. Why not implement the 30-day minimum in Roseville, making it available to that clientele and at the same time helping the Roseville residents?

4. I noticed discussion on a different minimum rental period for the summer months, i.e. Memorial Day to Labor Day. That would definitely be helpful for our family. Again, if there isn't a total BAN on short-term rentals, having a 30-day minimum in the summer months would be helpful. The winter months are not as problematic, of course, as most residents aren't partying outside during the cold fall and winter months.

5. As agreed on by Councilmember Etten at the August 24, 2020 City Council meeting, a VRBO or Airbnb in single-family neighborhoods is different from someone having a VRBO or Airbnb in Fort Meyers, Florida, for example, or a cabin in northern Minnesota. The impacts are different in the city.

6. Many cities worldwide have BANNED short-term rentals because they have been so problematic to their residents and their cities.

7. Policing violations at short-term rentals would be an additional burden on neighboring residents. The neighbors would have to be the ones to "police" the property and report the violations. According to Attachment D, that could take months or years to investigate the offenses at a particular property in an effort to revoke the rental registration.

8. Consider a BAN on short-term rentals on lakeshore property. These properties seem to be the most problematic, as the lake creates a resort-type of rental. There was very brief discussion about this in a past session, but it was quickly passed over. I ask you to reconsider this possibility.

Thanks again for your time on this issue.

Best regards,  
Mary Beth Hess

as this one, may be public data subject to the Minnesota Data Practices Act and/or may be disclosed to third parties.

---

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

**From:** [noreply@civicplus.com](mailto:noreply@civicplus.com)  
**To:** \*RVCouncil; Dawn O'Connor; Pat Trudgeon  
**Subject:** Online Form Submittal: Contact City Council  
**Date:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 1:16:00 PM

---

**Caution:** This email originated outside our organization; please use caution.

## Contact City Council

Please complete this online form and submit.

---

Subject Short Term Rentals

---

### Contact Information

---

Name: Frank Hess

---

Address: [REDACTED]

---

City: ROSEVILLE

---

State: MN

---

Zip: 55113

---

This form goes to the Mayor, all Councilmembers and certain City Staff. Due to the volume of emails submitted, a personal reply is not always possible.

---

How would you prefer to be contacted? Email  
Remember to fill in the corresponding contact information.

---

Email Address: [REDACTED]

---

Phone Number: [REDACTED]

---

Please Share Your Comment, Question or Concern

Dear Council, Mr. Mayor, and Staff,  
Thank you for looking into the issue of short term rentals, after reading over the packet you have put a great deal of work into this. I have been a life long resident of Roseville and have lived on McCarron's lake since 1977 and this past summer has been the worst summer I have ever experienced, being next door to a short term rental. Every few days was a new issue from drug use, vulgar language, extended camp fires ( sometimes unattended), illegal parking, beer pong, the list goes on and on. I hope you will seriously consider a ban on rentals less then 30

days, your own staff points out that only a hand full of people benefit from short term rentals yet they negatively impact 100's of people in a neighborhood. Consider how you would like it if you have a new neighbor every few days that doesn't care about your neighborhood. These short term rentals also negatively impact affordable long term rentals, I own a long term rental on the lake and the short term rental next door to me can generate more money in a week then I get in a month. According to the Roseville Visitor Association there are 12 hotels in Roseville so there is no shortage of short term rentals in Roseville.

Thank you for your consideration and i look forward to the upcoming meeting.

Stay healthy,  
Frank Hess

---

Unless restricted by law, all correspondence to and from Roseville City government offices, including information submitted through electronic forms such as this one, may be public data subject to the Minnesota Data Practices Act and/or may be disclosed to third parties.

---

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

**From:** [noreply@civicplus.com](mailto:noreply@civicplus.com)  
**To:** \*RVCouncil; Dawn O'Connor; Pat Trudgeon  
**Subject:** Online Form Submittal: Contact City Council  
**Date:** Saturday, January 9, 2021 9:51:35 AM

---

**Caution:** This email originated outside our organization; please use caution.

## Contact City Council

Please complete this online form and submit.

---

Subject 257 South McCarrons Blvd Commercial Property Issue

---

### Contact Information

---

Name: Diane Hilden

---

Address: [REDACTED]

---

City: Saint Paul

---

State: MN

---

Zip: 55113

---

This form goes to the Mayor, all Councilmembers and certain City Staff. Due to the volume of emails submitted, a personal reply is not always possible.

---

How would you prefer to be contacted? Email  
Remember to fill in the corresponding contact information.

---

Email Address: [REDACTED]

---

Phone Number: [REDACTED]

---

Please Share Your Comment, Question or Concern Roseville City Council

RE: Current rental property at 257 South McCarrons

I strongly urge the Roseville City Council to proceed with extreme caution in fashioning rules related to the future use of the current rental property at 257 South McCarrons. As a lakeshore property owner for over 30 years I am highly concerned about the commercial use and appropriate zoning designation for this property . Last summer's experience with a variety of raucous

party "animals" making havoc on Lake McCarrons itself the greater Lake McCarrons neighborhood community is evidence that thoughtful and strict restrictions on the use of this property are mandatory. Renting the property for a minimum of 30 days and not the 7 day period under discussion is needed to assure that renters are not disguised as good neighbors when in practice our neighborhood becomes a cacophonous party central! Neighbors should not be burdened with having to repeatedly contact the police for noise problems and Ramsey County for unsafe and disturbing lake swimming/boating/skiing/.personal watercraft antics. Noticeably Lake McCarrons in recent years has become principally the purview of pontoon cruisers (geriatric playpens??) and kayaks galore.

It is imperative that lakeshore property owners be polled before any restrictions on the use of the property at 257 South McCarrons are considered by the Roseville City Council. If careful consideration is not given to it's legally authorized use, in truth a totally commercial use of the property, it is destined to become an ongoing troublesome venue and not a valued .neighbor

---

Unless restricted by law, all correspondence to and from Roseville City government offices, including information submitted through electronic forms such as this one, may be public data subject to the Minnesota Data Practices Act and/or may be disclosed to third parties.

---

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

**From:** [Pat Trudgeon](#)  
**To:** [Janice Gundlach](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Online Form Submittal: Contact City Council  
**Date:** Friday, January 8, 2021 10:17:39 AM

---

FYI regarding short-term rentals.

-----  
**Patrick Trudgeon | City Manager**

O: 651.792-7021 | | F: 651.792.7020

[pat.trudgeon@cityofroseville.com](mailto:pat.trudgeon@cityofroseville.com)



2660 Civic Center Drive | Roseville, MN 55113

[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#)

-----

---

**From:** noreply@civicplus.com <noreply@civicplus.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 8, 2021 9:04 AM  
**To:** \*RVCouncil <city.council@cityofroseville.com>; Dawn O'Connor <dawn.oconnor@cityofroseville.com>; Pat Trudgeon <Pat.Trudgeon@cityofroseville.com>  
**Subject:** Online Form Submittal: Contact City Council

**Caution:** This email originated outside our organization; please use caution.

## Contact City Council

Please complete this online form and submit.

---

Subject: stuff

---

### Contact Information

---

Name: roger b hess jr

---

Address:

---

City: roseville

---

State: MN

---

Zip: 55113

---

This form goes to the Mayor, all Councilmembers and certain City Staff. Due to the volume of emails submitted, a personal reply is not always possible.

---

How would you prefer to be contacted? Remember  No Reply Necessary

to fill in the corresponding contact information.

---

Email Address:

████████████████████

---

Phone Number:

██████████

---

Please Share Your Comment, Question or Concern

councilmember,

1) short-term rentals: these operators should have to follow the same rules as hotels, which is what they basically are. they need to pay the roseville lodging tax. they need to maintain a guest register showing the names, addresses, telephone numbers, vehicle license number(s), and some sort of identification ID number, of any guests 18 years of age or older, which members of the roseville police force can examine at any time, the same as any hotel.

2) flavored tobacco/tobacco related products. why don't you just ban the sale of tobacco and tobacco related products in roseville? make the yearly license fee \$1 million! since this is what you basically are doing, but in a round about way. you are making it unprofitable for retailers and i doubt you are making any dent in persons under the age of 21 from getting these products.

even before you took away the rights of 18, 19, and 20 year old adults, retailers weren't trying to sell these products to those under the age of 18. THEY STEAL THEM FROM THEIR PARENTS!!! that is how kids have been getting tobacco products since the beginning of time! the retailers are not the problem. they do everything they can to not sell to underage people. the same goes for alcohol. kids don't try to buy alcohol from a liquor store, they get an older adult to buy it for them, or again, they steal it from their parents!

all of you know how the world works. you know the retailers aren't regularly selling products to underage people. take a minute and ask roseville patrol officers and detectives who are on the streets all the time. the retailers are not the problem!

have a great weekend!

roger

roger hess jr

---

Unless restricted by law, all correspondence to and from Roseville City government offices, including information submitted through electronic forms such

as this one, may be public data subject to the Minnesota Data Practices Act and/or may be disclosed to third parties.

---

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

**From:** [Andrew Montain](#)  
**To:** [Janice Gundlach](#)  
**Subject:** Jan 11 City Council-Short-term rentals  
**Date:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 7:59:15 PM

---

**Caution:** This email originated outside our organization; please use caution.

Hi Janice,

My partner Magi and I live on the S McCarrons Blvd.  
We would like to see the City of Roseville take the following steps:

- require 14-30 day minimum stay to avoid weekend party houses.
- define "owner occupied" so airbnb/vrbo **home owners** can't claim this potentially and simply stay at a hotel, with family or with friends.
- three or more violations within 180 days is a lot of work on community to "police." Consider requiring that one violation result in a 90 day restriction on the next day the property can be posted for rent. A 2nd violation adding an additional 180 days. A 3rd violation resulting in an additional full-year.
- It appears that a document was changed to require city council to revoke a rental license instead of the **community** development director. Please explain why?
- Set a number of police calls or police citations that could result in rental restrictions.
- Consider increasing the total fees and penalties to 110% of the rental rate posted by the home owner?

Thank you for your consideration,  
We plan to attend the meeting on Jan, 11th, 2021.

Andrew Montain and Magi Korder

**From:** [Andrew Montain](#)  
**To:** [Janice Gundlach](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Jan 11 City Council-Short-term rentals  
**Date:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 8:03:27 PM

---

**Caution:** This email originated outside our organization; please use caution.

Hi again Janice,

We forgot one matter.

Please have the City consider a temporary suspension of short-term rentals during the COVID-19 pandemic to slow the spread.

thanks again

On Sun, Jan 10, 2021 at 7:59 PM Andrew Montain [REDACTED] wrote:

Hi Janice,

My partner Magi and I live on the S McCarrons Blvd.

We would like to see the City of Roseville take the following steps:

- require 14-30 day minimum stay to avoid weekend party houses.
- define "owner occupied" so airbnb/vrbo **home owners** can't claim this potentially and simply stay at a hotel, with family or with friends.
- three or more violations within 180 days is a lot of work on community to "police." Consider requiring that one violation result in a 90 day restriction on the next day the property can be posted for rent. A 2nd violation adding an additional 180 days. A 3rd violation resulting in an additional full-year.
- It appears that a document was changed to require city council to revoke a rental license instead of the **community** development director. Please explain why?
- Set a number of police calls or police citations that could result in rental restrictions.
- Consider increasing the total fees and penalties to 110% of the rental rate posted by the home owner?

Thank you for your consideration,  
We plan to attend the meeting on Jan, 11th, 2021.

Andrew Montain and Magi Korder

**From:** [M B Hess](#)  
**To:** [Janice Gundlach](#)  
**Subject:** Short-term rentals  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 10:30:40 AM

---

**Caution:** This email originated outside our organization; please use caution.

Janice,

Thank you for researching and discussing the short-term rental situation in Roseville. Your discussion and decision on this sensitive issue is very important to hundreds of Roseville residents.

A few things for you to consider:

1. What will be favorable for the **most Roseville residents**? A **BAN** on all short-term rentals in Roseville would impact **fewer than 12 people**, according to the September 28, 2020 document, Attachment F. By **allowing short-term rentals** in Roseville, **hundreds of families** are impacted in a negative way.
2. The **number of guests** in a short-term rental isn't the only issue to consider. In our situation, we live 5 feet away from an Airbnb and even 4 renters can disrupt our otherwise lovely neighborhood. If a total BAN isn't going to be considered, it makes sense to have a minimum 30-day rental. Anything less than 30 days creates a party, resort-type of atmosphere. People are renting a home on a lake in Minnesota so that they can enjoy the lake, the yard, etc., and if they are only renting a few days, those few days are PARTY days. If they are renting a minimum of 30 days, they are a more "typical" neighbor, and will be less apt to party hearty every day/night that they are renting.
3. There ARE people that are interested in short-term rentals for a minimum of 30 days. Why not implement the 30-day minimum in Roseville, making it available to that clientele and at the same time helping the Roseville residents?
4. I noticed discussion on a different minimum rental period for the summer months, i.e. **Memorial Day to Labor Day**. That would definitely be helpful for our family. Again, if there isn't a total BAN on short-term rentals, having a 30-day minimum in the summer months would be helpful. The winter months are not as problematic, of course, as most residents aren't partying outside during the cold fall and winter months.
5. As agreed on by Councilmember Etten at the August 24, 2020 City Council meeting, a VRBO or Airbnb in single-family neighborhoods is different from someone having a VRBO or Airbnb in Fort Meyers, Florida, for example, or a cabin in northern Minnesota. The impacts are different in the city.
6. Many cities worldwide have BANNED short-term rentals because they have been so problematic to their residents and their cities.
7. Policing violations at short-term rentals would be an additional burden on neighboring residents. The neighbors would have to be the ones to "police" the property and report the violations. According to Attachment D, that could take months or years to investigate the offenses at a particular property in an effort to revoke the rental registration.
8. Consider a BAN on short-term rentals on lakeshore property. These properties seem to be

the most problematic, as the lake creates a resort-type of rental. There was very brief discussion about this in a past session, but it was quickly passed over. I ask you to reconsider this possibility.

Thanks again for your time on this issue.

Best regards,

Mary Beth Hess



Virus-free. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)

**From:** [Janice Gundlach](#)  
**To:** [Janice Gundlach](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Short-term rental discussion  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 3:04:43 PM

---

**From:** Amy Forliti [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 2:38 PM  
**To:** Janice Gundlach <Janice.Gundlach@cityofroseville.com>  
**Subject:** Re: Short-term rental discussion

**Caution:** This email originated outside our organization; please use caution.

Here is a revised version for your packet. Please use this version.  
Thank you.

Hello Mayor Roe and City Council members:

Thank you for addressing the short-term rental issue. I took a look at the draft proposal and I have a few thoughts:

1. The ban on short-term rentals for seven days or less is a step in the right direction, but does not solve the problem of rotating weekend guests who rent houses to party. Saturday-Saturday or Friday-Friday rentals (which are technically eight days) are similar to the types of rentals that occur in resort communities. I believe something longer would be more appropriate and alleviate the problems this draft proposal is designed to address. Ten- or 14-day minimums would be reasonable, with 30-day minimums as the preferred option to keep stability in neighborhoods.
2. I noticed that owner-occupied rentals where the owner is there "during the duration of the rental period" are exempt from licensing. How would the city track that they are operating as short-term rentals? It seems there should be some type of registration system in case these properties become problems.
3. As you know, a proposed 20-house development is being discussed for 196 and 210 S. McCarrons, with lake access. It would be in the area's best interest for the City Council to bar new homes in this development from being used as investment properties/short-term rentals. We aren't yet sure how this development will manage the lake access it has promised for its prospective homeowners. But after our experiences on Lake McCarrons this summer – it's safe to assume that if even just a few of these homes were purchased with the intent of turning them into short-term rentals, it would drastically impact the dynamics of Lake McCarrons and would further give this area a "resort" or "party" image, which I don't believe anyone wants. Please

consider prohibiting Airbnbs in these types of multi-home developments. Perhaps this proposal will be the best place to address this issue from the outset.

4. As I've said before, Airbnbs on lake homes are not merely places for people to sleep while they are in town for business or pleasure, but the homes themselves are vacation destinations – with people renting the properties to use the lake, relax and let off steam. Those who live nearby can't escape vacationing guests and unwanted behavior. Because of this dynamic, I feel additional restrictions could be put in place for Airbnbs on Roseville's lakes. Please keep this perspective in mind as you move forward.

Thank you for your time.

Amy Forliti