

**Roseville Parks and Recreation
Commission Meeting
Thursday November 7, 2013
6:30 P.M.
Roseville City Hall
2660 Civic Center Drive**

AGENDA

- 1. Introductions**
- 2. Public Comment Invited**
- 3. Approval of Minutes of October 1, 2013**
- 4. Park and Recreation Renewal Program**
 - a. Park Building and Shelter Plans**
 - b. Optimal Proposal Packages**
 - c. Natural Resources**
- 5. Park Dedication Rate Review**
- 6. Park Board Discussion**
 - a. Capital Improvement and Park Improvement Programs**
- 7. 2014 Draft Calendar**
- 8. Staff Report**
- 9. Other**
- 10. Adjournment**

Roseville Parks and Recreation
“Building Community through People, Parks and Programs”
www.ci.roseville.mn.us

*Be a part of the picture...get involved with your City...Volunteer!
For more information, call Roseville Parks and Recreation at 651-792-7006
or check our website at www.cityofroseville.com
Volunteering, a Great Way to Get Involved!*

MEMORANDUM

To: Parks and Recreation Commission
From: Lonnie Brokke
Date: October 28, 2013
Re: Notes for Commission Meeting on Thursday **November 7, 2013**

1. **Introductions**

Commissioners and staff will be introduced.

2. **Public Comment Invited**

Public participation and public comment is encouraged.

3. **Approval of Minutes of the October 1, 2013 Meeting**

Enclosed is a copy of the minutes of October 1, 2013. Please be prepared to approve or amend.

Requested Commission Action: Approve/amend meeting minutes of October 1, 2013.

4. **Park and Recreation Renewal Program Preliminary Plans and General Discussion**

General Information

This is a time where detail is involved in the Renewal Program with numerous tasks being coordinated. Optimal proposal packages are being established and final design plans and specifications are well underway for all projects with many 50% or more complete. In an effort to keep the construction industry aware of what is coming up, there is another Best Value Pre-Proposal and Education meeting scheduled for November 14, 2013.

Park Building and Shelter Plans

Work in progress plan sets are more than 50% complete for the 5 park buildings and the 3 Central Park shelters that are being replaced. The buildings are as follows: Autumn Grove Park, Lexington Park, Oasis Park, Sandcastle Park and Villa Park. The Shelters are in Central Park and are as follows: Victoria West (Central Park Foundation), Victoria East (ballfields) and Dale Street (FOR Parks). Plans will be reviewed at the meeting.

Each building is expected to vary in their own way to: fit into the park and neighborhood, include common elements, be functional to the community and neighborhoods needs and involvement and fit programming and use needs. This process has been an interesting evolution, thank you for your continued input.

Initial construction estimates for the Lexington Park "test project" suggest that the costs may be higher than the anticipated budget. As a result, value engineering may need to occur and building design may need to be adjusted while maintaining a similar theme, style and function.

Staff and a representative from the design team will be present at the meeting to review the plans and gather your additional thoughts.

It is anticipated that these plans will be brought to the City Council at their November 25th meeting for their review.

Optimal Proposal Packages

Enclosed is a spreadsheet that outlines where we are at with identifying the optimal packages for proposals for the entire renewal program. This will provide an idea to you on how a request for proposals will be framed to gain the most cost effectiveness and efficiencies. Staff and a representative from the design team will plan to review this with you at the meeting.

Natural Resource and Trail Projects

At your October meeting you reviewed and discussed the approach, identified projects and cost estimates for the natural resource and trail projects. Any additional progress on the Renewal Program will be reported at the meeting. Comments, questions and suggestions from the Commission are welcome and encouraged.

Requested Commission Action: Discuss and provide input/advice/recommendations

Staff will provide any additional information and updates to you at the meeting.

5. Park Dedication Rate Review

The Commissions role is to review and recommend Park Dedication rates. This item is an effort to maintain a consistent periodic review.

The most recent increase for residential rates was November 2011 when the rates were increased from \$3,000 to \$3,500 per unit. Based upon the survey of other communities, system needs, CIP, Master Plan outline of needs and the fact that an increase occurred within the last two years to keep pace, it may be appropriate for the rates to remain and be reviewed again next year.

The Commercial Park Dedication was adjusted from 5% of FMV to 7% of FMV. Based upon the survey of other communities, system needs, CIP, Master Plan outline of needs and the fact that an increase occurred last year to keep pace, it may be appropriate for the rates to remain and be reviewed again next year

Enclosed is a survey of rates in surrounding metropolitan communities.

Requested Action: Discuss the Park Dedication rate and consider a recommendation

6. Park Board Discussion

This is an item that was discussed at your joint meeting with the City Council and subsequently at a Commission meeting where there appears to be interest by both groups in studying the subject further and eventually providing a recommendation to the City Council. Initially one of the areas of interest to understand better was the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and the Park Improvement Program (PIP) as it relates to Parks and Recreation. To get the conversation and awareness going, enclosed is a memo from the City Finance Director Chris Miller outlining the CIP and PIP. Chris is willing to come to a future meeting for further discussion if the Commission is interested.

Requested Action: Discussion

7. Meeting Calendar for 2014

Enclosed is a draft meeting calendar for 2014 for your review and discussion.

Requested Commission Action: Discuss and set annual meeting calendar for 2014.

8. Staff Report

9. Other

10. Adjournment

**ROSEVILLE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION
DRAFT MEETING MINUTES FOR OCTOBER 1, 2013
ROSEVILLE CITY HALL ~ 6:30 PM**

PRESENT: Diedrick, Doneen, Gelbach, D. Holt, M. Holt, Stoner, Wall
ABSENT: Azer, Boehm, and Simbeck notified staff ahead of time about being unable to attend
STAFF: Anfang, Brokke, Evenson

1. INTRODUCTIONS

2. ROLL CALL/PUBLIC COMMENT

No Public Comment

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – SEPTEMBER 7, 2013 MEETING

Commission Recommendation:

Minutes for the September 7, 2013 meeting were approved unanimously.

4. PARK & RECREATION RENEWAL PROGRAM

o Lexington Park Building

- i. The preliminary Lexington Park building plans were included in the Commission packet. Also included were architect responses to recent Council questions addressing building systems and exterior surfaces.
- ii. A contractor pre-proposal meeting was held on September 19. Twenty five building professional attended. Discussions involved an overview of the Best Value System along with project timing.
- iii. The City Council has authorized the development of the Lexington Park building plans and specifications based on the 5-week project delivery. The actual project construction will be included in the larger package scheduled for spring 2014.
- iv. The contractor(s) selection will take place following the 18 week delivery of the plans and specifications for the entire Renewal Program.
 1. Anticipated time frame:
 - a. Completion of RFP(s) = November/December 2013
 - b. RFP(s) posted/promoted = January 2014
 - c. Best Value Process for Contractor(s) selection = January/February 2014
- v. Evenson reviewed the building plans, walking and talking through the preliminary site plans, images and prospective site views.
- vi. The 90% plan will be ready for review on October 10.

Commissioners inquired into building aesthetics, the Council questions, maintenance considerations and the design vision.

- o Staff clarified responses to the Council questions regarding potential roofing materials, the possibility of in floor heating and other design and system related items.
- o Brokke reminded the Commission of the \$500,000 budget for the project and the need to create a facility that has functional spaces and then work with add-alternates.

Following the discussion, the Commission affirmed direction.

o Natural Resources & Trails Projects

Trails

- i. Commissioner Doneen updated the Commission on discussions from the most recent NRAT meeting.
 1. Doneen reminded the Commission of community feedback that asked for better connectivity of trails ... People want to be able to walk to parks and then walk around in the parks once they arrive.
 2. B-2 Sidewalk
 - a. Important for the community
 - b. Will result in use of the majority of the Renewal Program trails and pathways funding
 3. NRATS has looked at other possible connections that may be added with the remainder of the Renewal Program trails funding. The group had decided to wait on making recommendations until the end of community dialogues for other Renewal Program projects is completed so as to have a better idea of what might be provided through other projects
 - ii. Evenson explained the thought process used in creating the priority listing for system-wide trail projects outside of the B-2 sidewalk project.
 1. Evenson spoke to Constellation consideration from the Master Plan along with the significance of supporting the Renewal Program
 - iii. Commissioners discussion included:
 1. D Holt suggested that future funding may better serve projects that are more park specific with personal connections to individual parks
 2. P Gelbach asked about the signage plan for identifying projects and supporting community awareness
- NRATS suggested a more balanced approach was needed between connections and in park trails. More work to come.

o Natural Resources

- i. Doneen spoke to the high value our community places on Natural Resources.
- ii. Staff explained that the proposed direction for Natural Resource funding is:
 1. The management of existing situations, including; working to eliminate invasive species and adding environment education components to neighborhood parks
 2. New Renewal Program Projects might include the addition of a natural area of some sort in every park
 3. It was pointed out how the 2001 Natural Resource Management Plan has benefited the City in so many different ways
 - i. Current planning efforts have used the following:
 1. A type, size and location study of possible projects
 2. Filtered projects using current maintenance needs and processes vs. new maintenance needs
 3. Opportunities to leverage funding through partnership grants
 4. Sustainability
 5. Benefits to overall the park system
 6. Creating eco-system components in each sector with ties back to the Nature Center
 4. Staff is working closely with Stantec on an estimated priority listing to better establish a system that will direct efforts for years to come
 5. Natural Resources will be a standalone RFP within the Renewal Program. The package will be developed around outcome based performance

standards. The RFP will involve a 3rd party to verify outcomes. The RFP will also suggest the use of community volunteers to the best of our ability

- i. Commissioners inquired into how we might work to get the word out on Natural Resource volunteer projects

6. The Natural Resources RFP will follow the same 18 week delivery timeline as the construction projects

Following the discussion, the Commission affirmed direction. More work and finalization of projects to come.

i. **Most Recent Renewal Program Work**

- i. Villa Park equipment has been removed from the park, sod and seeding will begin next week.
- ii. Renewal Program Playground design work is completed. There are plans to install 4 playgrounds this fall. Upper Villa, Langton Lake and Victoria Ball Fields are scheduled to be traditional installs; the playground at Materion Park is scheduled for a community build project.
- iii. The B-2 Sidewalk project goes to Council on October 14.
- iv. Survey work for Renewal Program building projects is complete.
- v. Survey work is being doing by city staff for playgrounds.
- vi. Soil boring work for foundations and footing for Renewal Program buildings is complete.
- vii. Wetland delineations are being done at;
 - Villa Park
 - CP Ballfields
 - Evergreen Park
 - Oasis Park
- viii. Capitol Region Watershed is looking to partner on a possible project at the upper Villa Park field.
- ix. Rice Creek Watershed is looking to partner on a possible project at the Evergreen Park Athletic Fields.
- x. Blue Rhino Design Studio is working on preliminary cost estimates for an interpretive display at HANC.
- xi. Information is being gathered for HVAC proposals, upgrades to carpet and lighting at HANC.
- xii. Staff is meeting with Boardwalk construction firms in the coming weeks.
- xiii. Staff is fine tuning program, event and usage schedules in an effort to provide the best possible information for the RFPs.
- xiv. A structural review is taking place on the Skating Center walking track addition.
- xv. This week, staff is involved with irrigation reviews, ball field site visits, rental shelter design reviews, code review of Lexington Park building and work on possible land acquisitions.

5. **STAFF REPORT**

Brokke reported;

- Upcoming meetings for Communities for a Lifetime are on October 24 at the Little Canada city hall and November 16 at Roseville Ramsey County Library.
- Working to finalize purchase agreement on a property near Langton lake Park. This purchase is financed using park dedication funds.
- Fire Station Open House is scheduled for October 12. Parks & Recreation plans on using the vacated Fire Station #2 for much needed storage (replacing temporary storage at the Hagen Building).

- Lexington Park flowers look great for this time of the year – thank you to volunteers and staff for your work on this project.

6. **OTHER**

- Diedrick recognized Parks and Recreation student commission Chloe Boehm – Merit Scholarship semi-finalist.
- M Holt announced the upcoming FORParks 7th Annual Holiday Gala on November 14 at the Skating Center. The evening will feature amazing entertainment, great food and beverage all mixed into a fun community social.

Meeting adjourned at 8:25pm

Respectfully Submitted,
Jill Anfang, Assistant Director

DRAFT

Parks and Recreation Renewal Program
Roseville, Minnesota

Optimal Bid Package

31-Oct-13

BID PACKAGES

Bid Package	General description
A	Park buildings, shelters, and site improvements; existing shelter improvements
B	Roseville Skating Center repairs
C	Harriet Alexander Nature Center building improvements
D	Harriet Alexander Nature Center boardwalk improvements
E	Villa Park bridges
F	Bennett Lake lighting; sport lighting (rinks and courts)
G	Tennis court improvements
H	Field improvements
I	Irrigation improvements
J	Rinks
K	Natural resources and restoration projects
L	Disk golf
M	Playgrounds
N	B2 Sidewalk
O	Trails external to parks

Parks and Recreation Renewal Program
Roseville, Minnesota

Optimal Bid Package

31-Oct-13

DIVISION OF WORK					
Bid package	Component	Park/facility	Elements	Sequence	Prefix
A	Park buildings, shelters, and site improvements; existing shelter improvements				
	Buildings				
	A	Autumn Grove Park	park building		AG
	A	Central Park Lexington East	restroom building		CPL
	A	Lexington Park	park building		LE
	A	Oasis Park	park building		OA
	A	Rosebrook Park	park building		RO
	A	Sandcastle Park	park building		SA
	A	Villa Park	park building		VI
	Site improvements				
	A	Autumn Grove Park	site improvements		AG
	A	Central Park Lexington East	site improvements		CPL
	A	Lexington Park	site improvements		LE
	A	Oasis Park	site improvements		OA
	A	Rosebrook Park	site improvements		RO
	A	Sandcastle Park	site improvements		SA
	A	Villa Park	site improvements		VI
	Shelters				
	A	Central Park Victoria East	C.P. Victoria Ballfields shelter		CPV
	A	Central Park North	C.P. Foundation shelter		CPN
	A	Central Park Dale West	ForParks shelter		CPW
	Special construction				
	A	Acorn Park	existing shelter enhancement		AC
	A	Evergreen Park	existing shelter enhancement		EV
	A	Central Park Lexington East	existing Jaycess Shelter enhancement		CPL
	Site construction				
	A	Pocahontas Park	play area fencing, turf improvements		PO
	Courts				
	A	Autumn Grove	court, fencing, lighting	work to be performed by selected court contractor	AG
	A or G	Sandcastle Park	court, fencing, lighting	work to be performed by selected court contractor	
	Irrigation				
	A	Lexington Park		work to be performed by selected irrigation contractor	LE
	A	Autumn Grove Park		work to be performed by selected irrigation contractor	AG
	A	Oasis Park		work to be performed by selected irrigation contractor	OA
	Rinks				
	A	Lexington Park		work to be performed by selected field/rink contractor	LE
	A	Villa Park		work to be performed by selected field/rink contractor	VI

A	Autumn Grove Park		work to be performed by selected field/rink contractor	AG
A	Acorn Park			AC
Trails within parks				
A	Autumn Grove Park			AG
A	Central Park			CP
A	Lexington Park			LE
A	Oasis Park			OA
A	Rosebrook Park			RO
A	Sandcastle Park			SA
A	Villa Park			VI
A	Pocahontas Park	trail reroute		PO
B Roseville Skating Center repairs				
B	Roseville Skating Center	paint, arch repair, sinking corner		
C Harriet Alexander Nature Center building improvements				
C	HANC	interior building improvements		
C	HANC	building systems improvements		
D Harriet Alexander Nature Center boardwalk improvements				
D	HANC	boardwalk		
E Villa Park bridges				
E	Villa Park	bridges		
F Bennett Lake lighting; sport lighting (rinks and courts)				
F	Central Park	Bennett Lake lighting	work to be performed by selected lighting contractor	
F	Various parks	Sports lighting (rinks and courts)	work to be performed by selected lighting contractor	
G Tennis court improvements				
G	Bruce Russell Park	court, fencing, lighting	work to be performed by selected court contractor	
G	Evergreen Park	court, fencing, lighting	work to be performed by selected court contractor	
G	Howard Johnson Park	court, fencing, lighting	work to be performed by selected court contractor	
G	Pocahontas Park	court, fencing, lighting	work to be performed by selected court contractor	
H Field improvements				
H	Central Park	two fields of six field complex	work to be performed by selected field/rink contractor	
H	Central Park	two fields of six field complex	work to be performed by selected field/rink contractor	
H	Central Park	two fields of six field complex	work to be performed by selected field/rink contractor	
H	Evergreen Park	two fields of four field complex	work to be performed by selected field/rink contractor	
H	Evergreen Park	two fields of four field complex	work to be performed by selected field/rink contractor	
H	Central Park	Legion Field	work to be performed by selected field/rink contractor	
H	Villa Park	B-Dale Field	work to be performed by selected field/rink contractor	
I Irrigation improvements				
I	Central Park Lexington		work to be performed by selected irrigation contractor	

I	Acorn Park	<i>work to be performed by selected irrigation contractor</i>
I	Owasso Park	<i>work to be performed by selected irrigation contractor</i>
I	Langton Lake Park	<i>work to be performed by selected irrigation contractor</i>

K Natural resources and restoration projects

K	???
K	???

L Disk golf

L	Acorn Park
---	------------

M Playgrounds

M	Central Park Victoria	ballfields playground	<i>work to be performed by selected playground contractor</i>
M	Central Park Victoria West	<<<accessible playground>>>	<i>work to be performed by selected playground contractor</i>
M	Central Park Lexington	Tom Curley Playground	<i>work to be performed by selected playground contractor</i>
M	Langton Lake Park	C2 playground	<i>work to be performed by selected playground contractor</i>
M	Langton Lake Park	ballfields playground	<i>work to be performed by selected playground contractor</i>
M	Materion Park		<i>work to be performed by selected playground contractor</i>
M	Tamarack Park		<i>work to be performed by selected playground contractor</i>
M	Villa Park	Upper playground	<i>work to be performed by selected playground contractor</i>
M	Mapleview Park		<i>work to be performed by selected playground contractor</i>
M	Owasso Park		<i>work to be performed by selected playground contractor</i>
M	Howard Johnson Park		<i>work to be performed by selected playground contractor</i>
M	Oasis Park		<i>work to be performed by selected playground contractor</i>
M	Acorn Park		<i>work to be performed by selected playground contractor</i>
M	Bruce Russell Park		<i>work to be performed by selected playground contractor</i>

N B2 Sidewalk

N	City-wide improvement	B2 Sidewalk
---	-----------------------	-------------

O Trails external to parks

A or O	Evergreen Park	
O	Central Park Victoria	ballfields playground access
O	Langton Lake/C2 playground	playground access
O	Tamarack Park	playground access and trail connection
O	Mapleview Park	playground access
O	Howard Johnson Park	playground access
O	Acorn Park	playground
O	Trails external to parks	

Parks and Recreation Renewal Program			
Roseville, Minnesota			
Optimal Bid Package			
31-Oct-13			
<i>Within Bid Package A, there will be "mini set" for each individual park. Each park will have its own title sheet and a complete set of plans and details that are unique to that park. A "general set" will include details, notes, and other information that is general to all the parks in Bid Package A. The entire package will have an Overall Title Page and the "general set" will be at the end of the package. The numbering should be as described below.</i>			
BID PACKAGE A SHEET NUMBERS			
Prefix*	Discipline	Numeral	Information
<i>*Prefix for each park indicated on Division of Work tab</i>			
*		1.1	Park Title Sheet (Code Plan, Location Plan, index, etc.)
<i>ie. For Autumn Grove, the Park Title Sheet will be labeled 1.1</i>			
*	a	2.1	Floor Plan
<i>ie. For Autumn Grove, the Floor Plan sheet for that park will be numbered AG_a2.1</i>			
*	a	2.1i	Finishes Plan
*	a	2.1fe	FFE Plan
*	a	2.2	Roof Plan
*	a	3.1	Exterior Elevations(specific to that Building)
*	a	4.1	Building Sections (specific to that Building)
*	a	5.1	Exterior Wall Sections/Exterior Details (specific to that Building)
*	a	7.1	Interior Elevations (specific to that Building)
*	a	8.1	Window and Door Schedules
*	a	9.1	Reflected Ceiling Plan
*	I	1.1	Removals/Existing Conditions Plan
<i>ie. For Autumn Grove, the Removals/Existing Conditions Plan sheet for that park will be numbered AG_11.1</i>			
*	I	2.1	Overall Layout Plan
*	I	2.2	Layout Enlargement Plan
*	I	3.1	Overall Grading/Utilities Plan
*	I	3.2	Grading Enlargement Plan
*	I	4.1	Landscape Plan
*	I	5.1	Site Details (specific to that park)
*	s	0.1	Structural Notes and Special Inspections
<i>ie. For Autumn Grove, the Structural Notes and Special Inspections Plan sheet for that park will be numbered AG_s0.1</i>			
*	s	1.1	Footing and Foundation Plan
*	s	1.2	Roof Framing Plan
*	s	2.1	Foundation Sections
*	s	2.2	Roof Framing Sections

[illegible]

Comparative Park Dedication Data

Prepared for: The Roseville Parks and Recreation Commission

Data current - November 2013

City	Residential 2013	Commercial 2013
Andover	\$2,935 per unit	\$8,956 per acre or 10% FMV which ever is less
Apple Valley	\$4584 per unit	\$1248 per 1,000 sq. foot
Blaine	\$2,557 per unit	\$7,378 per acre
Bloomington	\$5,700 per unit	\$593 per 1,000 sq.foot
Brooklyn Center	None	None
Brooklyn Park	\$4,600 per unit	\$7,600 per acre
Burnsville	\$2,574 per unit	\$16,000 per acre
Chanhassen	\$5,800 per unit	\$12,500 per acre
Champlin	\$4,370 per unit	\$8,323 per acre
Cottage Grove	\$3,200 per unit	4% FMV
Eagan	\$3,308 per unit	\$869 per 1,000 sq. foot
Eden Prairie	\$6,500 per unit	\$11,500 per acre
Falcon Heights	FMV equal to 8-10% Land Value	FMV equal to 10% Land Value
Fridley	\$1,500 per lot	\$1,000 per acre
Inver Grove Hts.	\$4,011 per unit	\$6,000 per acre
Lakeville	\$1500 per lot	\$1,000 per acre
Little Canada	\$2,600 per unit	5% FMV
Maple Grove	\$3971 per unit	\$11,000 per acre
Maplewood	\$3,540 per unit	9% FMV
Mounds View	10% FMV	10% FMV
Oakdale	\$2,800 per unit	\$491 per 1,000 sq. foot
Plymouth	\$6,500 per unit	\$8,000 per acre
Richfield	None	None
Roseville	\$3,500 per unit	7% FMV
Shakopee	\$5,340 per unit	\$6,930 per acre
Shoreview	10% FMV	10% FMV
St. Louis Park	\$1,500 per unit	5% FMV
Woodbury	\$3,500 per unit	\$6,000 per acre
AVERAGE	\$3,770 per unit	\$6782 per acre



Memo

To: Parks & Recreation Commission
Lonnie Brokke, Parks & Recreation Director

From: Chris Miller, Finance Director

Date: October 28, 2013

Re: Discussion on Parks & Recreation Capital Improvement & Park Improvement Plans

Background

Over the past few years, both the Parks & Recreation Commission and City Council have held discussions regarding the possible formation of a Parks & Recreation Board. If the Board is created, it will likely be charged with reviewing the long-term capital asset needs of the parks and recreation system. This memo identifies the primary interests associated with that review.

As of December 31, 2012 the City's parks and recreation system included nearly \$30 million in capital assets. A summary of these assets is shown in the table below.

Asset Type	Value	Est. Life (Years)
Land	\$ 10,892,421	n/a
Buildings	12,085,990	40
Equipment	5,870,480	5-10
Vehicles	1,009,252	5-10
Total	\$29,858,143	

Generally speaking, the land portion of these system assets will not require any on-going maintenance or replacement. The remaining asset categories will need to be incorporated into a long-term capital asset replacement plan.

It's important to note that the value of these assets is stated in historical terms or the cost incurred at the time the assets were acquired. To replace these assets in today's dollars will be significantly higher. Therefore to properly assess the long-term financial impacts of sustaining the parks and recreation system, we must not only look at the useful life of each asset, we must continually adjust for future price increases.

This process is carried out with the annual update of the Parks & Recreation Capital Improvement Plan (CIP), and the Park Improvement Plan (PIP). Each of these Plans and financing options is addressed separately below.

Parks & Recreation CIP

Each year, the City updates its 20-Year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). The CIP identifies the scheduled replacement of assets based on current prices. The replacement schedule is based on the age of each asset and its estimated useful life. For planning purposes, it is assumed that each asset will be replaced by a similar one in the future.

A major component of the CIP is the City's parks and recreation-related assets. The Parks & Recreation CIP includes all buildings, recreational amenities, and playground equipment that lie within City parks as well as the Skating Center, Nature Center, community gymnasiums, and the Golf Course. For purposes of this discussion it does not include pathways and trails

The 2014-2033 Parks & Recreation CIP calls for an investment of \$51.2 million over the next 20 years. Of this amount, approximately \$15 million is scheduled to be financed with the recently issued Park Renewal Bonds. The remainder will need to come from other sources including tax levy and participant fees.

Park Improvement Program

The Park Improvement Program (PIP) was created over 20 years ago to systematically finance the replacement of existing park system assets. Originally, it received a tax levy appropriation of \$250,000 annually. However, funding for this Program not only failed to keep up with inflationary impacts it was never adjusted to account for new system components that were added after the Program was initiated.

Funding for the PIP was reduced to \$40,000 annually in 2012. However, this coincided with the issuance of bonds to fund the multi-year Park Renewal Program which would address the most immediate park improvement needs.

As a result of these factors, the PIP has largely become a maintenance-type fund rather than an asset replacement one. Over the past few years, the PIP has been used for smaller athletic equipment replacements, landscaping projects, playground equipment upgrades, etc.

Capital Asset Replacement Financing Options

As noted above, there has been a number of funding sources that have historically been used to finance the replacement of parks and recreation system assets. They include tax levy, bonds (which are ultimately repaid with tax levy), and in the case of the Golf Course; participant fees.

The current Parks & Recreation CIP has a funding gap of \$36.2 million over the next 20 years or \$1.81 million per year. A recently-appointed City Council CIP Committee recommended that the City address this gap using the following funding strategies:

- ❖ Consider increasing greens fees to pay for future Golf Course improvements to generate an additional \$100,000 per year.
- ❖ Through 2014-2020, steadily increase/repurpose the tax levy by an additional \$1.4 million annually to be dedicated for the replacement of parks and recreation system assets.

This financing strategy would close the funding gap to \$6.2 million over the next 20 years or \$310,000 per year.

Final Comments

The long-term financing strategy outlined above contains key assumptions such as the ability to increase future tax levies as well as the ability for the Golf Course to increase green fees to the degree that would be needed. It's conceivable that these assumptions may prove to be incorrect.

In addition, there are other capital replacement needs that also have a long-term funding gap. And we can expect other community and organizational priorities to materialize in the coming years. All of these competing interests will make it especially challenging for the parks and recreation system to reach full sustainability.

ROSEVILLE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION

2014 **DRAFT** ANNUAL CALENDAR

<u>Day/Month</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Location</u>
Tuesday, January 7	6:30 p.m.	Regular Meeting – City Hall
Thursday, February 6 Alternate date to be finalized - Caucuses on Tuesday, Feb 4	6:30 p.m.	Regular Meeting -City Hall
Tuesday, March 4	6:30 p.m.	Regular Meeting -City Hall
Tuesday, April 1	6:30 p.m.	Regular Meeting -City Hall
Tuesday, May 6	6:30 p.m.	Regular Meeting -City Hall
Tuesday, June 3	6:30 p.m.	Regular Meeting –City Hall
Monday, June 9th or June 16th Joint date to be finalized once Council dates are approved for 2014	6:00 p.m.	Joint Commission/City Council Meeting
JULY		NO MEETING
Tuesday, August 5	8:00 p.m.	Regular Meeting-City Hall
Thursday, August 7th - 6:30 p.m.		Alternate date &/or time to be finalized - Night To Unite
Tuesday, September 2	6:30 p.m.	Regular Meeting-City Hall
A Saturday date may be chosen if a tour is desired.		
Tuesday, October 7	6:30 p.m.	Regular Meeting -City Hall
Thursday, November 6th or 13th Alternate date to be finalized - Election Day on Tuesday, November 4	6:30 p.m.	Regular Meeting-City Hall
Tuesday, December 2	6:30 p.m.	Regular Meeting -City Hall

Kara Thomas

From: noreply@civicplus.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2013 4:47 PM
To: *RVParksCommission
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Contact Parks and Recreation Commission

The following form was submitted via your website: Contact Parks and Recreation Commission
Subject:: Must have more off-leash dog areas

Name:: Patricia Rantanen
Address:: 2846 Churchill St.
City:: Roseville
State: : MN
Zip:: 55113

How would you prefer to be contacted? Remember to fill in the corresponding contact information in the fields below.:
Email Phone Number:: 651-756-1962 Email Address:: prantanen@yahoo.com

Please Share Your Comment, Question or Concern: 37% of Roseville's households have a dog.
Our city's current allocation of park space ignores the recreational needs of more than 1/3 of our community. Consider this...

- A. 10,000 dogs live in Roseville.
- B. 37% of our households have at least 1 dog.
- C. There are 5,800* dog households in Roseville.
- D. Roseville has only 1 small off-leash dog park.
- E. Our 1 small dog park is not centrally located.
- F. That park does not meet the need of this city's 5,800 dog households who wish to safely exercise their pet.

Allocation of recreation space should mirror the recreational profile of a city. That is good city planning. Our lone off-leash space is a woeful oversight.
Allocation of park space has ignored the needs of 37% of us.

But the fix for that is fast, cheap and easy. Just allocate space within several of our existing parks. Enclose the space with cheap cattle fencing. Install a sign with the rules. Done.
Visit the Bald Eagle Lake dog park for ideas. It was built on the cheap. It keeps dogs safe. It has shade and enough space to get some real exercise. It's popular. It's a great place to socialize. I drive 14 miles at least once a week to use it. Three families I know in Mahtomedi also make the drive 2-3 times per week.
It is in Roseville's best interest to set aside more off-leash space within several of our existing parks. The areas are very popular with dog owners. And they are an excellent, cheap way to attract new residents while helping to retain the residents we have.

1. When will we have more off-leash space?
2. If there are no plans for more, why not?

Additional Information:

Form submitted on: 10/30/2013 4:47:12 PM Submitted from IP Address: 192.81.210.165 Referrer Page: No Referrer -
Direct Link Form Address: <http://www.ci.roseville.mn.us/Forms.aspx?FID=135>