REQUEST FOR COUNCIL ACTION

Date: 05/12/2014

Item No.: 12.a

Department Approval

City Manager Approval

Cttyl K. mill

Item Description: Receive Preliminary Report on the 2015 Budget & Tax Levy Impact Items

BACKGROUND

2

5

6

10 11

12

13 14

15 16 At the February 24, 2014 City Council meeting the Council considered the proposed 2015 Budget Calendar which outlined a series of steps to establish an eventual budget. One of those steps included a preliminary review of the major budget impact items.

The information below is presented in two sections. The first section highlights the <u>general</u> budget impacts in the property tax-supported programs. There will be additional impacts that will be highlighted in the departmental budget presentations on May 22^{nd} . The second section deals with programs that are supported by non-tax revenues; however Staff is recommending at this time that a separate discussion be held given the varied nature of these programs and their funding sources.

SECTION 1: Property Tax-Supported Programs

A summary containing an estimate of these impacts is presented below.

2015 Budget Impacts: Property Tax-Supported Programs

Reduction - Debt Service Reduction - Debt Service	City Hall Bond Refunding Savings (annual) Street Bond #25 Paid Off	(60,000)
POC Fire Employee Healthcare	Union formation and Fire Department reorg. Pending	unknown
POC Fire Employee Wages	Union formation and Fire Department reorg. Pending	<mark>unknown</mark>
Eliminate Use of Reserves	2014 Budget relied on reserves to balance the budget	346,000
General inflation - Operations	Inflation on supplies, professional services, etc.	100,000
General inflation - Capital	Inflation on scheduled capital replacements	5,000
Capital replacements – New	Based on Staff recommendations (per memo)	55,000
Employee Healthcare	Increased healthcare premiums **	-
Employee wage step increases	Eligible employees under the Compensation Plan	100,000
Employee COLA	Based on 2% cost-of-living-adjustment (COLA)	196,000
Employer PERA Contribution	Mandated contribution increase for Employees	52,000
Budget Impact Item	Description / Comments	Amount
Dudget Impact Item	Description / Comments	2015 Amor

^{**} The City is projecting a 3-5% increase in healthcare premiums; however these costs are expected to be offset by lower enrollments in the City's Healthcare Plan.

As shown in the table above, there is at least \$623,000 of potential tax-supported budgetary impacts in 2015. Each of these impacts is briefly described below.

Employer PERA Contribution Increase

The 2014 Legislature enacted mandatory employer *and* employee contribution increases in 2015 for all employees covered by the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA). The City contribution rate for employees covered under the Police and Fire PERA Plan will increase from 15.3% of salary to 16.2%. The contribution for employees covered under the General PERA Plan will increase from 7.25% to 7.50%.

The contribution rate for the Police and Fire Plan is higher due to the fact that employees covered under this plan do NOT receive employer-paid FICA (Social Security) of 6.2%.

The total financial impact is \$63,300, or which \$52,000 lies within the tax-supported funds.

Employee Cost-of-Living Adjustment (COLA)

The City has a long-standing practice of maintaining external and internal pay equity amongst all employee groups including union and non-union employees. The Police Patrol, Police Sergeants, and Maintenance Operators unions have all agreed to a 2% COLA for 2015. The 2% COLA is consistent with pay adjustments provided by peer cities. As of this date, the Paid-on-Call Firefighters union has not settled on a pay plan.

In the interest in keeping external and internal equity, it is recommended that all regular non-union employees also receive a 2% COLA. The cost for this adjustment in the tax-supported funds is approximately \$196,000.

Employee Wage Step Increases

47 Und48 stand49 reco

Under the City's Compensation Plan(s), eligible employees that meet satisfactory performance standards are advanced to a higher step within their position pay grade. The higher step is in recognition of the added skills and institutional knowledge that the employee has obtained. It also reflects the increased value the employee creates for the City. This approach is coupled with the general practice of hiring less experienced employees at a lower pay step or introductory wage.

About half of all full-time employees are still progressing through these wage steps. The total financial impact in the tax-supported funds is approximately \$100,000

Capital Replacements

As previously recommended by the CIP Committee; the CIP Funding Plan calls for an \$80,000 increase in the 2015 tax levy to strengthen the City's Pathways program. It is suggested however, that the City Council consider a funding increase of only \$55,000 for General Facilities instead. This is explained further in a separate Staff Memo.

General Inflation

The City is projecting a general inflationary impact of approximately 2% on all non-personnel related costs. This would include any capital purchases as well as supplies, materials, and contractual services needed for day-to-day operations. The estimated impact in the tax-supported funds is \$105,000.

Use of Cash Reserves

The 2014 General Fund Budget relied on the use of \$346,000 of cash reserves to achieve a balanced budget. While the use of cash reserves for one-time purposes is generally accepted, the Council-adopted financial policies call for balanced and sustainable budgets.

To eliminate the reliance on the use of cash reserves for day-to-day operations, a permanent funding source will need to be identified.

Paid-on-Call Firefighter Wages and Healthcare

As of this date, the Paid-on-Call Firefighters union has not settled on a 2015 Contract. It is conceivable that a significant impact on the 2015 Budget and tax levy will result from these discussions.

<u>Debt Service Reductions Savings</u>

The bonds originally issued to finance the renovation and expansion of City Hall and Public Works Building was refunded in 2013 to take advantage of lower interest rates. The annual savings was \$60,000 which takes effect in 2015.

In addition, one of the City's street replacement bonds will be fully paid by the end of 2014 which will allow us to eliminate the dedicated tax levy that was said aside for this purpose.

Other Legislative Impacts

City Staff continues to monitor other legislative impacts including the recently passed changes to the State's minimum wage laws. Beginning August 1, 2014, the minimum wage will be \$8 per hour for large employers including the City of Roseville and will rise to \$9 per hour on August 1, 2015. This is not expected to have any significant impact on the budget for 2015 given that most City employees are already making more than these amounts, or are exempted from the new law.

A more serious impact could result if the Legislature forgoes any changes to the current LGA formula. Based on preliminary LGA projections provided by the MN House Research Department, Roseville would lose its entire LGA appropriation of \$225,000 annually in 2015. Only a handful of cities would lose their entire appropriation under the formula. Ironically, the City is a 'victim' of its own success under the LGA formula. With the recent population gains from Applewood II, Sienna Green, and Josephine Woods development projects, along with an expanding tax base; the LGA formula recognizes that Roseville has the means to financially support itself without state assistance.

The LGA monies are currently earmarked for general facility capital replacements. Given the sizeable budget pressures being faced for 2015, it is suggested that this be addressed further in conjunction with a broader discussion on the City's long-term capital facility needs.

Budgetary Impact on Property Taxes

For 2015 the total projected budget and tax levy impact from the items noted above will be at least \$623,000. This will result in an increase of 3.5% over the current tax levy. Based on preliminary estimates of our 2015 market values which <u>includes an 11% increase in the value of a median valued home</u>, this will result in an estimated tax impact on a median single-family home of \$6.40 per month.

SECTION 2: Non Tax-Supported Programs

113114

- ** Given the varied nature of each individual NON tax-supported program and their distinct funding sources, broad-based impacts such as those detailed above cannot be compiled in a meaningful way.
- There will be more specific discussions on the major non tax-supported programs later in the budget
- 118 process. **

119 POLICY OBJECTIVE

- Evaluating major budget impacts prior to establishing preliminary spending and tax levy target levels is consistent with industry-recommended practices, and prior years' budget-development process.
- 122 FINANCIAL IMPACTS
- Not applicable.
- 124 STAFF RECOMMENDATION
- Not applicable.

126 REQUESTED COUNCIL ACTION

- For information purposes only. No formal Council action is required. However, the Council is asked to provide general guidance on spending and tax levy target levels for next year's budget.
 - Prepared by: Chris Miller, Finance Director
 - Attachments: A: For reference purposes: Staff Memo on 2013 Cash Reserves
 - B: For reference purposes: Staff Memo on 2012 Cash ReservesC: For reference purposes: Cash Reserve Summary and Projections

130





Memo

To: Mayor and City Council

Pat Trudgeon, City Manager

From: Chris Miller, Finance Director

Date: April 4, 2014

Re: Summary of City Cash Reserves

Introduction

The purpose of this memo is to provide a summary of the City's current cash reserve levels, as well as an overview on why the City maintains cash reserves.

Reserves are oftentimes referred to as cash, rainy day funds, contingency funds, or fund balance. In many instances these terms can be used interchangeably. However, for purposes of this discussion we'll refer to them as 'cash reserves' - or monies that the City can draw upon to provide for; day-to-day operations, capital replacements, one-time expenditures, or unforeseen circumstances.

One further distinction is made with regard to the City's cash reserves. All municipalities are required to distinguish between *restricted* reserves and *unrestricted* reserves. These categories are described in further detail below.

The Role of Cash Reserves

Municipalities maintain reserves for the following reasons:

- Provide cash flow to support current operations in between revenue collection periods
- ❖ To address unforeseen circumstances
- ❖ To provide for future capital expenditures
- Strengthen overall financial condition, and bond (credit) rating

Most municipalities in Minnesota, including Roseville, rely heavily on the property tax to provide for its General Fund operations. However, property taxes are received by the City only twice per year. Therefore, the City must maintain reserves to offset the lengthy period of time during which property taxes are not being collected. Reserves are also held to address unforeseen circumstances such as weather-related damage to City facilities, or to offset an unexpected loss in revenues like state-aid.

In addition, reserves are also systematically established to provide for future expenditures that are expected to occur in the future, such as reconstructing a road or replacing a fire truck. Finally, reserves are held to strengthen a City's overall financial condition. Simply put, the greater the reserves, the stronger the City's overall financial condition will be. Strong reserve levels allow cities to respond better to changing circumstances, and preserve a greater number of options as compared to weaker reserve levels.

A strong reserve level can also produce a better bond rating. Currently, the City enjoys an 'Aaa' rating from Moody's, and an 'AAA' rating from Standard & Poor's, which places the City in the upper 3% nationally. If our bond rating should fall, it would translate into higher borrowing costs. A bond rating that is reduced by just one tier from 'Aaa' to Aa1' could result in an additional \$25,000-\$35,000 in interest costs for each \$1 million issued in today's markets.

Restricted vs. Unrestricted

As noted above, all municipalities must distinguish between *restricted* and *unrestricted* cash reserves. *Restricted* reserves are monies that have constraints placed on them by either external entities such as debt covenants, grantors, or laws and regulations of another government; or by laws through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Examples of *Restricted* Funds include:

- a) Community Development (building permit fees)
- b) Communications (franchise fees)
- c) Water, Sanitary Sewer, Storm Sewer (fees)

Because these funds are restricted, they are unavailable for general purposes such as police, fire, streets, etc. They can only be used for the purpose in which the fees were imposed.

In contrast, *unrestricted* cash reserves such as those held in the General Fund can be used for any public purpose. It should be noted however that these funds are oftentimes segregated or earmarked for specific programs and services. Re-purposing these funds will likely have an impact on service levels.

Current Cash Reserve Levels

The following table depicts the City's current cash reserve levels as of 12/31/13 (the last year for which audited financial statements are available) for key operating funds:

The Control of the Co	2014	12/31/2013	Target	Actual	\$\$ Over
<u>Fund</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Reserves</u>	Pct.	Pct.	(Under)
General (unrestricted)	\$13,429,235	\$ 5,766,481	40%	43%	\$ 394,787
Parks & Recreation	4,134,050	1,111,161	25%	27%	77,649
Community Development	1,190,995	595,148	35%	50%	178,300
Communications	420,195	584,645	20%	139%	500,606
Information Technology	1,639,000	359,115	20%	22%	31,315
License Center	1,310,075	925,567	20%	71%	663,552
AP WITH THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	7	\$ 9,342,117			

As indicated in the chart, the City has approximately \$9.3 million in cash reserves in its key operating funds which generally provide for day-to-day activities. It should be noted that some of these reserves; including the amounts in the Communications, Information Technology, and License Center funds are also set aside for future capital replacements. In addition, the 2014 General Fund Budget relied on the use of \$346,000 of cash reserves to close a funding gap, so the reserve levels shown above are expected to decline this year.

Some of these reserves are unrestricted and could potentially be re-purposed. However, doing so could come at great expense to existing programs and service levels.

In addition, the City also maintains cash reserves in separately-held capital replacement funds. These funds do not provide for any day-to-day-activities. A separate memo regarding these reserves will be forthcoming in conjunction with the discussion on the 20-Year Capital Improvement Plan.

Relationship between Reserves & Property Taxes

In addition to the roles identified above, cash reserves also play a role in determining what the City's property tax levy needs to be. In 2013, the City's operating cash reserves earned approximately \$300,000 in interest earnings. These interest earnings were used to provide funding for current operations, thereby reducing the amount needed from property taxes or fees.

A significant portion of these earnings were contained in the Street Replacement Fund and were used to finance the annual Mill and Overlay Program for neighborhood streets.

Holding all other factors constant, if reserve levels drop by 10%, the City would have earned only \$270,000 in earnings; a <u>decrease</u> of \$30,000. This would have necessitated a corresponding increase in the tax levy and/or fees to keep funding levels the same.

Final Comments

It is recognized that the City's overall financial condition is strong in large part due to its healthy reserve levels. However, the Council is advised to refrain from unsustainable practices such as using reserves to support day-to-day operations for successive years. In addition, to remain strong, cash reserve levels need to continue growing in proportion with the operating budget.

City of Roseville Fund Balance Levels For Key Capital Replacement Funds

		Current						
		12	2/31/2013	Target	Actual	\$\$ Over		
	<u>Fund</u>	<u>F</u>	Reserves	Pct.	Pct.	(Under)		
	Police Vehicles & Equipment	\$	362,353	n/a	n/a	", ", n/a		
	Fire Vehicles & Equipment		702,332	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	Parks & Rec. Vehicles & Equipment		119,075	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	Public Works Vehicles & Equipment		669,569	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	Administration Equipment		5,157	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	Finance Equipment		9,845	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	Central Services Equipment		84,930	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	Building Replacement		808,623	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	Pathway Maintenance		268,515	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	Parks Improvement Program		359,880	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	Park Dedication		1,337,837	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	Street Replacement	1	1,874,976	n/a	n/a	n/a		
*	Water		(685,012)	n/a	n/a	n/a		
*	Sanitary Sewer		1,297,506	n/a	n/a	n/a		
*	Storm Water		4,241,930	n/a	n/a	n/a		
*	Recycling		234,017	n/a	n/a	n/a		
*	Golf Course		259,258	n/a	n/a	n/a		
		\$ 2	21,950,791	•	200	posterioral controller. In 1977 To 1998 seed		

^{*} Reserves are used for operations and capital replacements



Memo

To: Mayor and City Council

Pat Trudgeon, Interim City Manager

From: Chris Miller, Finance Director

Date: August 15, 2013

Re: Summary of City Cash Reserves

Introduction

The purpose of this memo is to provide a summary of the City's current cash reserve levels, as well as an overview on why the City maintains cash reserves.

Reserves are oftentimes referred to as cash, rainy day funds, contingency funds, or fund balance. In many instances these terms can be used interchangeably. However, for purposes of this discussion we'll refer to them as 'cash reserves' - or monies that the City can draw upon to provide for; day-to-day operations, capital replacements, one-time expenditures, or unforeseen circumstances.

One further distinction is made with regard to the City's cash reserves. All municipalities are required to distinguish between *restricted* reserves and *unrestricted* reserves. These categories are described in further detail below.

The Role of Cash Reserves

Municipalities maintain reserves for the following reasons:

- ❖ Provide cash flow to support current operations in between revenue collection periods
- ❖ To address unforeseen circumstances
- ❖ To provide for future capital expenditures
- ❖ Strengthen overall financial condition, and bond (credit) rating

Most municipalities in Minnesota, including Roseville, rely heavily on the property tax to provide for its General Fund operations. However, property taxes are received by the City only twice per year. Therefore, the City must maintain reserves to offset the lengthy period of time during which property taxes are not being collected. Reserves are also held to address unforeseen circumstances such as weather-related damage to City facilities, or to offset an unexpected loss in revenues like state-aid.

In addition, reserves are also systematically established to provide for future expenditures that are expected to occur in the future, such as reconstructing a road or replacing a fire truck. Finally, reserves are held to strengthen a City's overall financial condition. Simply put, the greater the reserves, the stronger the City's overall financial condition will be. Strong reserve levels allow cities to respond better to changing circumstances, and preserve a greater number of options as compared to weaker reserve levels.

A strong reserve level can also produce a better bond rating. Currently, the City enjoys an 'Aaa' rating from Moody's, and an 'AA' rating from Standard & Poor's, which places the City in the upper 5% nationally. If our bond rating should fall, it would translate into higher borrowing costs. A bond rating that is reduced by just one tier from 'Aaa' to Aa1' could result in an additional \$25,000-\$35,000 in interest costs for each \$1 million issued in today's markets.

Restricted vs. Unrestricted

As noted above, all municipalities must distinguish between *restricted* and *unrestricted* cash reserves. *Restricted* reserves are monies that have constraints placed on them by either external entities such as debt covenants, grantors, or laws and regulations of another government; or by laws through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Examples of Restricted Funds include:

- a) Community Development (building permit fees)
- b) Communications (franchise fees)
- c) Water, Sanitary Sewer, Storm Sewer (fees)

Because these funds are restricted, they are unavailable for general purposes such as police, fire, streets, etc. They can only be used for the purpose in which the fees were imposed.

In contrast, *unrestricted* cash reserves such as those held in the General Fund can be used for any public purpose. It should be noted however that these funds are oftentimes segregated or earmarked for specific programs and services. Re-purposing these funds will likely have an impact on service levels.

Current Cash Reserve Levels

The following table depicts the City's current cash reserve levels as of 12/31/12 (the last year for which audited financial statements are available) for key operating funds:

	2013	12/31/2012	Target	Actual	\$\$ Over
<u>Fund</u>	Budget	Reserves	Pct.	Pct.	(Under)
General	\$ 12,836,937	\$ 5,568,600	40%	43%	\$ 433,825
Parks & Recreation	4,008,105	922,537	25%	23%	(79,489)
Community Development	1,045,990	367,417	35%	35%	1,321
Communications	374,698	591,108	20%	158%	516,168
Information Technology	1,562,060	226,365	20%	14%	(86,047)
License Center	1,195,295	790,951	20%	66%	551,892
Water	n/a	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sewer	n/a	1,476,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
Stormwater	n/a	2,974,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
Recycling	n/a	264,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
Golf Course	n/a	315,000	_ n/a	n/a	n/a
		\$ 13 495 978			

As indicated in the chart, the City has approximately \$13.5 million in cash reserves in its key operating funds which generally provide for day-to-day activities. It should be noted that some of these reserves, such as the amounts depicted in the information technology, communications, and water & sewer funds also provide for capital replacements.

In addition, the City also maintains cash reserves in separately-held capital replacement funds. These funds do not provide for any day-to-day-activities. The following table depicts the City's current cash reserve levels as of 12/31/12 (the last year for which audited financial statements are available) for key <u>capital replacement</u> funds:

	1	2/31/2012	Target	Actual	\$\$ Over
<u>Fund</u>		Reserves	Pct.	Pct.	(Under)
Police Vehicles & Equipment	\$	249,435	n/a	n/a ≝	n/a.
Fire Vehicles & Equipment		582,719	n/a	n/a	n/a
Parks & Rec. Vehicles & Equipment		148,710	n/a	n/a	n/a
Public Works Vehicles & Equipment		426,938	n/a	n/a	n/a
Administration Equipment		4,930	n/a	n/a	. "n/a
Finance Equipment		4,930	n/a	n/a	n/a
Central Services Equipment		(516)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Building Replacement		691,644	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pathway Maintenance		250,025	n/a	n/a	n/a
Parks Improvement Program		349,136	n/a	n/a	∴age≒n/a
Street Replacement		10,245,976	n/a	n/a	n/a
	\$	12,953,927			

As indicated in the chart, the City has approximately \$12.9 million in cash reserves in its key capital replacement funds – funds set aside for future capital.

Nearly all of these reserves are unrestricted meaning they could be re-purposed. However, doing so could come at great expense to existing programs and service levels. The Council is strongly advised to look at the 20-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) to fully ascertain whether the reserves held in these funds are sufficient to meet the City's long-term capital asset needs.

Relationship between Reserves & Property Taxes

In addition to the roles identified above, cash reserves also play a role in determining what the City's property tax levy needs to be. In 2012, the City's operating cash reserves earned approximately \$400,000 in interest earnings. These interest earnings were used to provide funding for current operations, thereby reducing the amount needed from property taxes or fees.

A significant portion of these earnings were contained in the Street Replacement Fund and were used to finance the annual Mill and Overlay Program for neighborhood streets.

Holding all other factors constant, if reserve levels drop by 10%, the City would have earned only \$360,000 in earnings; a <u>decrease</u> of \$40,000. This would have necessitated a corresponding increase in the tax levy and/or fees to keep funding levels the same.

Final Comments

It is recognized that the City's overall financial condition is strong in large part due to its healthy reserve levels. However, the Council is advised to refrain from unsustainable practices such as using reserves to support day-to-day operations for successive years. In addition, to remain strong, cash reserve levels need to continue growing in proportion with the operating budget.

City of Roseville Fund Balance Levels For Key Operating Funds

Current					Projected Projected									
	Fund	2014 Budget	12/31/2013 Reserves	Target Pct.	Actual Pct.	\$\$ Over (Under)	2015 Budget	Actual Pct.	2016 Budget	Actual Pct.	2017 Budget	Actual Pct.	2018 Budget	Actual Pct.
**	General (unrestricted)	\$ 13,429,235	\$ 5,766,481	40%	43%	\$ 394,787	\$ 13,832,112		\$ 14,247,075	·	\$ 14,674,488	39%	\$ 15,114,722	38%
	Parks & Recreation	4,134,050	1,111,161	25%	27%	77,649	4,258,072	26%	4,385,814	25%	4,517,388	25%	4,652,910	24%
	Community Development	1,190,995	595,148	35%	50%	178,300	1,226,725	49%	1,263,527	47%	1,301,432	46%	1,340,475	44%
	Communications	420,195	584,645	20%	139%	500,606	432,801	135%	445,785	131%	459,158	127%	472,933	124%
	Information Technology	1,639,000	359,115	20%	22%	31,315	1,688,170	21%	1,738,815	21%	1,790,980	20%	1,844,709	19%
	License Center	1,310,075	925,567	20%	71%	663,552	1,349,377	69%	1,389,859	67%	1,431,554	65%	1,474,501	63%
			\$ 9,342,117	•										

^{**} NOTE - \$346K in GF Reserve Spending was budgeted in 2014

Inflation rate 3.0%

City of Roseville Fund Balance Levels For Key Capital Replacement Funds

		Curi	ent		Projected **						
	12/31/2013	Target	Actual	\$\$ Over							
<u>Fund</u>	Reserves	Pct.	Pct.	(Under)	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>		
Police Vehicles & Equipment	\$ 362,353	n/a	n/a	n/a	332,353	283,010	310,635	267,365	274,995		
Fire Vehicles & Equipment	702,332	n/a	n/a	n/a	601,000	449,000	447,980	708,940	654,618		
Parks & Rec. Vehicles & Equipment	119,075	n/a	n/a	n/a	56,000	(205,000)	(158,500)	14,000	(10,720)		
Public Works Vehicles & Equipment	669,569	n/a	n/a	n/a	621,000	554,200	228,784	156,860	329,497		
Administration Equipment	5,157	n/a	n/a	n/a	11,157	13,000	21,260	29,685	38,279		
Finance Equipment	9,845	n/a	n/a	n/a	11,845	15,000	21,300	27,726	34,281		
Central Services Equipment	84,930	n/a	n/a	n/a	83,930	81,160	79,943	78,702	77,436		
Building Replacement	808,623	n/a	n/a	n/a	408,000	127,700	(192,746)	(346,446)	(603,446)		
Pathway Maintenance	268,515	n/a	n/a	n/a	258,000	248,000	232,960	217,691	201,972		
Parks Improvement Program	359,880	n/a	n/a	n/a	379,000	399,000	(1,148,690)	(2,625,810)	(4,146,110)		
Park Dedication	1,337,837	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,337,837	1,337,837	1,337,837	1,337,837	1,337,837		
Street Replacement	11,874,976	n/a	n/a	n/a	10,500,000	8,225,000	7,314,500	6,285,790	5,136,506		
* Water	(685,012)	n/a	n/a	n/a	(600,000)	(554,000)	(854,000)	(764,000)	(743,000)		
* Sanitary Sewer	1,297,506	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,000,000	575,000	436,500	370,230	342,635		
* Storm Water	4,241,930	n/a	n/a	n/a	4,000,000	3,074,000	2,835,480	2,964,190	3,038,473		
* Recycling	234,017	n/a	n/a	n/a	234,017	234,017	234,017	234,017	234,017		
* Golf Course	259,258	n/a	n/a	n/a	190,000	100,500	(10,990)	(84,490)	(164,990)		
	\$ 21,950,791	_			\$ 19,424,139	\$ 14,957,424	\$ 11,136,270	8,872,287	\$ 6,032,280		

^{*} Reserves are used for operations and capital replacements

^{**} Projected reserve levels are based on current funding sources and scheduled capital replacements