

# Memo

**To:** Roseville Finance Commission  
**From:** Patrick Trudgeon, City Manager  
**Date:** October 8, 2019  
**Re:** Item #4: Approve the Minutes from the September 10, 2019 Meeting

---

## **Background**

As an advisory commission to the City Council, the Finance Commission's discussions and recommendations play an important role in setting City policies and influencing decisions on programs and services.

To ensure an accurate historical account of the Finance Commission's activities are preserved, the City maintains a practice of keeping meeting minutes. The attached file contains the draft minutes from the September 10, 2019 meeting. The Commission is asked to review the minutes and identify any typos, errors or inaccuracies of the discussion that took place.

Where applicable, Commission members are asked to identify any necessary corrections at the meeting. The Commission should subsequently vote to approve the amended (if necessary) minutes. Once the minutes are approved, they become part of the City's permanent records.

## **Staff Recommendation**

Review the draft minutes.

## **Requested Commission Action**

Amend (as necessary) and approve the Finance Commission meeting minutes for the September 10, 2019 meeting.

Prepared by: Patrick Trudgeon, City Manager  
Attachments: A: Draft Minutes from the September 10, 2019 Finance Commission Meeting

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

**Finance Commission  
Meeting Minutes  
DRAFT – September 10, 2019 - DRAFT**

**Roll Call/Announcements**

The Finance Commission (FC) meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. Chair Hodder requested staff call the roll.

**Commissioners Present:** Matt Harold, Edwin Hodder, Ryan Lee, John Murray, Jack Reif, and Dan Sagisser

**Commissioners Absent:** None.

**Staff Present:** City Manager Patrick Trudgeon

**Approve Agenda**

The agenda was approved as distributed.

**Receive Public Comments**

Ms. Cynthia White, Churchill Street

Ms. White wondered what the interest was on the city's current debt. She knew that at the time the city had gotten the parks bond, the interest rate was quite low and is still low. She wondered if the current interest rate is lower than a couple of years ago. If it is, she wondered if the city should consider paying that off and getting a new loan with a lower debt.

Mr. Trudgeon indicated he did not know the rate off hand but would find that out and send the information to Ms. White along with information to the Finance Commission.

**Approval of Meeting Minutes**

Commissioner Reif noted line 113 should be changed to: "subcontractors." Line 268 should be changed to: "on cash reserves".

Commissioner Murray indicated line 289 should be changed to: "choking".

Chair Hodder explained line 171 to 176 should be changed to: "Chair Hodder noted one of the issues that will come up during the budget discussion that there are a lot of needs in the 2020 budget. The percentage increases were also significant from 2019 to 2020. That's something that will weigh heavily on the Commission tonight when we have the county and the school district that also have major capital needs. We will need to look at all three entities and examine the impact on the average residential household".

46 Commissioner Murray moved, seconded by Commissioner Reif to approve the August 13, 2019  
47 meeting minutes as amended. **The motion carried unanimously.**

48

49 **Receive Finance Commission Recommendations Tracking Report**

50

51 Commissioner Harold noted there were no changes from the last meeting and no  
52 recommendations were made.

53

54 **Establish A Recommendation on the Use of the Cash Reserve Fund for the Emerald Ash**  
55 **Borer Program**

56

57 Chair Hodder explained the city Council directed the Finance Commission to review a specific  
58 proposal to utilize the newly created Cash Reserve Fund to provide monies for the city's  
59 Emerald Ash Borer (EAB) Program. The proposal was made by the Parks & Recreation  
60 Commission during their joint meeting with the Council on June 17, 2019. The Finance  
61 Commission is asked to prepare a recommendation on whether to use available monies from the  
62 Cash Reserve Fund towards the EAB Program.

63

64 Commissioner Murray thought this was a management issue and the Council should determine  
65 that. If the Council decides to use the Cash Reserve Fund or include this in the budget is up to  
66 them and not for the Finance Commission to decide. He noted he would lean against doing it  
67 and thought it should be included in the budget because it is a long-term program and should be a  
68 budgetary item.

69

70 Commissioner Reif indicated he agreed and thought it should be a budgetary item that should be  
71 included in the Parks and Receptions budget proposal each year that it makes and have the  
72 Council decide if the amount being asked for is appropriate. He would hesitate to make a  
73 commitment for multiple years out into the future to totally dedicate funds for that for six or  
74 seven years out.

75

76 Commissioner Lee concurred.

77

78 Commissioner Sagisser agreed and thought if this was a short-term thing it would different but  
79 that is not what the Parks and Recreation Commission is asking for, the Parks and Recreation  
80 Commission is asking for long term which should be a part of the budgeting process.

81

82 Commissioner Harold disagreed because he thought a five-year program was not so long that it  
83 could not be used for this. He thought this type of project where the current policy is when the  
84 tree dies to cut it down and this was a way to be proactive so this sort of finite proactive solution  
85 to a problem the city has, in his mind, is a good use of this money. He did not think this was an  
86 ongoing thing that the Parks and Recreation Commission is asking for and if it was then it should  
87 be a part of the budget. If it is a project that has a start and end date then he thought it would be  
88 a good use and a way for the city to be thinking proactively as opposed to just reactive, which is  
89 what the city is doing now.

90

91 Commissioner Murray did not disagree but was not sure if the Finance Commission should be  
92 making this decision as to moving the money around and funding. He thought this was a  
93 Council discussion.

94  
95 Commissioner Harold agreed but indicated the Council kicked this to the Finance Commission to  
96 find out if this sort of project would merit the use of money from the Reserve Fund. He thought  
97 this type of project would. He noted in regard to bullet point three he did not think the Finance  
98 Commission could decide if this project was the best use for the money because there have not  
99 been any other requests. He was not comfortable saying this would be the number one priority  
100 for the money but he thought this project, if the Council deemed it a priority for the city, would  
101 be a valid project for the money, if the money were there but he did not think the city had the  
102 money that the Parks and Recreation Commission was requesting.

103  
104 Commissioner Murray agreed but thought the Council should make the decision.

105  
106 Commissioner Harold indicated he was in the same mind frame as Commissioner Murray, but  
107 the city Council asked for the Finance Commission's input and that would be his input.

108  
109 Commissioner Harold agreed that he did not think this Commission should give a thumbs up or  
110 thumbs down but he thought the questions that the Finance Commission is being asked about  
111 whether the project could merit the use of the money and based on the available money he  
112 thought those are fair questions.

113  
114 Commissioner Sagisser thought while looking through the documentation regarding the Cash  
115 Reserve Fund that it sounds like there is no real definition of what that fund is for.

116  
117 The Commission agreed.

118  
119 Commissioner Sagisser wondered if that was the reason why this item was sent back to the  
120 Finance Commission. Would this be an opportunity to define the fund a little bit and what it is  
121 for.

122  
123 Chair Hodder asked for clarity on the rationale that the Council was thinking when this item was  
124 sent back to the Commission.

125  
126 Mr. Trudgeon thought it was a matter of getting the Finance Commission's opinion on whether  
127 the Cash Carry Forward Fund should be used for this type of program and also kicked down to  
128 the Commission more of a general policy on how that fund can be used. He knew the  
129 Commission previously talked about it and in the end came to a conclusion that it is up to the  
130 Council to decide. He thought if the Commission came back to the Council with a mixed  
131 recommendation that would be ok.

132  
133 Chair Hodder thought that programs needed to be funded in the budget process and levy and not  
134 in the Cash Reserve Fund. There are many competing interests and that is where the Council has  
135 to make that call. He thought this particular program can be funded and additional monies can

136 be done through the levy. He would like to see a gradual approach and would hate to shift those  
137 reserves. He would feel more comfortable if that process was done through the levy.

138

139 Ms. Cynthia White

140 Ms. White thought it was a fair question and also wondered if the Parks and Recreation  
141 Commission was caught by surprise that this money was swiftly going to be taken from them and  
142 whether or not proper consideration was given that the entire set of monies from Parks and  
143 Recreation reserves was going to be swept into this other fund that maybe the Parks and  
144 Recreation Commission would not have access to. She thought there may have been some  
145 unintended consequences as a result of those decisions that the Finance Commission still may  
146 need to deal with. Not just with Emerald Ash but with how that fund should be used and when it  
147 is appropriate to give some of those funds back to the Parks and Recreation Commission.

148

149 Commissioner Reif noted after hearing a number of perspectives on this he agreed with Chair  
150 Hodder that it should be a part of the annual budget process and the Parks and Recreation  
151 Commission should make a request for what is needed and what the Commission would like to  
152 have and let the Council decide what the Commission gets as opposed to asking the Council to  
153 make a six or seven year commitment right now because a lot of things could change in that  
154 amount of time.

155

156 Commissioner Lee thought it was important to look at having the Cash Reserve as a back up for  
157 a rainy day for something that cannot be handled between now and the next time where there is  
158 the ability to raise funds. Since it is a new fund, making a judicious approach to when to tap into  
159 it or when to dispense the funds might set a tone for the fund and what it can be used for in the  
160 future even though it has not been yet. By not setting it up for long term programs using it as a  
161 piggy bank for an important item that should be taken care of.

162

163 Commissioner Sagisser explained he focuses entirely around what is a Cash Reserve Fund for,  
164 short term, so the thing that sticks in his head is the long-term project which makes him think  
165 this is not the appropriate use for this fund. If the Parks and Recreation Commission wanted an  
166 extra injection of cash next year to go for this, he thought that made sense, as an emergency cash  
167 reserve function.

168

169 Commissioner Reif moved, seconded by Commissioner Murray to fund the Emerald Ash Borer  
170 Program through the normal budgetary process.

171

172 **COMMISSION DISCUSSION**

173

174 Commissioner Sagisser asked for a friendly amendment to the motion to add “because of the  
175 long-term nature of the request.”

176

177 Commissioners Reif and Murray agreed to the amendment.

178

179 Commissioner Harold asked what was considered long term. This has a potential to be precedent  
180 setting. He noted the Parks and Recreation Commission is requesting five years of funding for a

181 seven-year project. He noted for a city project he would consider that to be short-term and not  
182 long-term. He hesitates to say that this money cannot be used for any project that lasts longer  
183 than a few years because there could be things that come up that will take a several year  
184 commitment that would be potentially unexpected that the city might want to use that fund for.  
185 He was ok in saying that the Emerald Ash Borer project is not the best of this money at this time,  
186 but he hesitated to set a project length.

187  
188 Commissioner Reif explained the city has an annual budget process and when commitments are  
189 made going out beyond the next year, he did not think that was a prudent way to move forward.  
190

191 Chair Hodder wondered if the long-term amendment needed to be added to the motion because it  
192 is a point well taken. He thought Commissioner Harold’s point was well taken because there are  
193 a lot of city projects that extend long term. He did not think long-term needed to be in the  
194 motion.

195  
196 Commissioner Harold reviewed a few examples where a need might come up that is critical for  
197 the money but may last more than a year and he was not sure if the Commission should set a  
198 limit on the time needed to get a project completed in order to use the funds.

199  
200 Commissioner Lee noted with working in the government sector it can take a long time to get a  
201 project going and funded. The first year a project happens that is between budget cycles may be  
202 an opportunity to use the Reserve Fund but after that there would be enough time to go through  
203 the levy and budget process and if it is going to take any number of years it should be thought to  
204 have it funded through the budget and no longer an emergency. At that point he would not want  
205 to use the reserve fund money for that.

206  
207 Commissioner Murray explained the assumption has been that the \$600,000 is going to be there  
208 and it may not be. There is also a commitment to the Reserve Fund to shore up the other funds if  
209 there is a shortfall so some of it is already committed potentially. It would be very risky to  
210 indicate the Emerald Ash Borer program would be funded or anything else outside of the budget  
211 process.

212  
213 Chair Hodder indicated that was his thinking. There are so many competing needs which will be  
214 discussed further in the meeting that he was not comfortable doing that without going through  
215 the normal budget and levy process. He asked if the amendment to the motion should be  
216 removed and state it should be funded through the budget and levy process.

217  
218 Commission Reif proposed that amendment to the amendment. “Fund through the budget and  
219 levy process.”

220  
221 Chair Hodder noted that is the original motion. The amendment was removed from the motion.  
222

223 **The motion carried unanimously.**  
224

225 **Establish A Recommendation on the 2020 City Manager Recommended Budget**

226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270

City Manager Trudgeon indicated the Finance Commission may want to submit guidance or recommendation to the city Council regarding the City Manager’s recommended budget & tax levy. He reviewed the information with the Commission.

Commissioner Murray asked how the city is doing on finding a new Finance Director.

Mr. Trudgeon indicated the city is actively reviewing possible candidates and if things go as planned there will be a new Finance Director in place by the beginning of December.

Commissioner Murray thought that Info and Tech should be separated out from under the Finance Department and wondered if that has been done.

Mr. Trudgeon explained the Metro INet is the consortium that is operated out of the city and provides services to forty plus entities, mostly local government. He indicated he did not have a specific plan on that going forward but did have it identified that he wants to be working on and looking at a couple of things. On the overall structure of that, the leadership and if there is some need to have a different structure and should that be a separate department as well. He will also be looking at different models because it is a consortium. That is something that is just starting to be looked at.

Commissioner Murray indicated a previous member of the Finance Commission brought up some security and liability issues with the technology and asked if that is going to be considered.

Mr. Trudgeon indicated it will be. One of the things the city will need is a dedicated network security specialist.

Commissioner Murray noted on page 6 of the budget Attachment A, he noticed there will be four million dollars in Capital Expenditures under Water, Sewer and Storm and he thought the sewer lines are being replaced on an ongoing basis and he wondered if that program is coming to an end at some point.

Mr. Trudgeon did not think the program is going to be ended. He explained every year the city replaces so many linear feet of sewer.

Commissioner Murray thought this was a three- or four-year program and he wondered if this was going to drop off or drop down significantly in a year or two.

Mr. Trudgeon hoped it will. He noted the city was in a major reinvestment and does not know what the timeframe is, and the city Engineers have also looked to extending the life by lining the sewers.

Commissioner Murray asked if the cost of living increase of three percent was worked into the budget and wondered if that was negotiated.

271 Mr. Trudgeon explained the city has union and non-union employees. There are five unions and  
272 the majority of the unions have negotiated a three percent COLA, cost of living increase, for this  
273 year and future years with a three-year contract. The city does try, the best it can, to match the  
274 non-union employees with the same COLA and do not want to have internal disparity and  
275 external comparisons are done with other cities that are comparable to the City of Roseville.  
276

277 Commissioner Murray indicated the Federal Reserve says 2.4 percent and he thought the  
278 employees are then given a .6 percent raise.  
279

280 Chair Hodder thought the States COLA this year was 2.75 percent.  
281

282 Commissioner Murray thought the city was giving the employees a raise in excess of the Cost of  
283 Living.  
284

285 Mr. Trudgeon thought if pay was narrowly defined on the same track as Cost of Living. City  
286 staff looks at a couple of different factors and he thought it was hard to retain employees and  
287 how competitive the job market is and the city is already pegged as ninety-six percent of the peer  
288 communities as pay so Roseville, as an employee group, is already making less and the city  
289 needs to make sure it stays competitive with that and is part of the factor and not a simple  
290 formula.  
291

292 Commissioner Murray asked if turn over has been a problem above normal turnover in the city.  
293

294 Mr. Trudgeon indicated there is a standard turnover and he did not think it was any greater than  
295 normal.  
296

297 Commissioner Murray noted on page 10 of Attachment A, he brought this up with former  
298 Finance Director Miller a number of times, on the audit, he mentioned it is appropriate on a  
299 private company audit that normally there is a management letter from the auditors and the  
300 auditors have experience with a number of organizations similar and in this case, cities, who are  
301 doing things a little different and the auditor usually makes suggestions but the city auditors have  
302 not done that. He asked if it would be appropriate to request that.  
303

304 Mr. Trudgeon indicated that was possible. He noted the auditor does provide a management  
305 letter and also came before the Finance Commission before and he wondered if that was asked  
306 by the Commission.  
307

308 Commissioner Murray indicated former Finance Director Miller told him that the auditor does  
309 not provide a management letter, an audit report is given but is not the same as a management  
310 letter. He noted a management letter would be suggestions about doing something differently or  
311 suggestions on ways to do things better.  
312

313 Mr. Trudgeon indicated he would look into that to find out what information the city receives  
314 and if the auditor can provide it.  
315



316 Commissioner Murray indicated there was mention of an 8.4 increase in the median value of a  
317 single family home in Roseville and he reminded staff, the Council and everyone else that  
318 regardless of what the increase in the value of the houses are, taxes are paid out of income and  
319 income has not been going up that much. On Attachment C, page one, regarding elections he  
320 asked if there was an election in 2017.

321  
322 Mr. Trudgeon thought it may have been the School Board elections. Those are in odd years.

323  
324 Commissioner Murray asked what are considered Central Services.

325  
326 Mr. Trudgeon indicated those are supplies that everyone uses such as paper, primarily.

327  
328 Commissioner Murray asked if the city is budgeting anything for contingency.

329  
330 Mr. Trudgeon noted there is not a line item specifically for contingency.

331  
332 Commissioner Murray indicated there was a line item in 2017 and 2018 for contingency. He  
333 corrected himself and noted the actual expenditure was there in 2017 and 2018 but no budget line  
334 for it.

335  
336 Commissioner Murray asked what Neighborhood Enhancements under Community  
337 Development Fund was.

338  
339 Mr. Trudgeon explained the Neighborhood Enhancement Program is a program that started  
340 about ten years ago and is proactive code enforcement. Presently staff goes through half the city  
341 and does a walk by and note any Code violations. The EDA does fund that through their levy.

342  
343 Commissioner Murray noted in 2018 the budget showed \$77,000 and then it goes down to  
344 \$8,650 for the budget with a big drop and rental licensing drops to zero as well.

345  
346 Mr. Trudgeon thought it had something to do with how the city accounts for staffing time  
347 towards that, but he was not sure and would check further on this.

348  
349 Commissioner Murray wondered on Attachment D under Elections, what other services and  
350 charges was for.

351  
352 Mr. Trudgeon indicated that is the city contract with the County.

353  
354 Commissioner Murray indicated he was curious why there is Program Revenue under city  
355 Council in Attachment G.

356  
357 Mr. Trudgeon explained without having the detailed budget sheets he was not sure what that  
358 could be.

359  
360 Commissioner Murray indicated there is also revenue under legal.

361  
362 Mr. Trudgeon noted if the revenue is attached to legal then it might be fines collected as a part of  
363 the legal services.

364  
365 Commissioner Reif asked with respect to the OVAL, if the city does not get the State funding are  
366 there things that do have to be done to keep it operating or can the OVAL limp by for a while.

367  
368 Mr. Trudgeon explained barring any catastrophic failure, occasionally there will be leaks in the  
369 piping system which needs to be repaired. If it is a lot of leaks or in the middle of the OVAL  
370 where more concrete would be removed the city could do that, but it would come at an added  
371 cost. The city tries to band aid that as much as possible. The system is functioning but a lot of it  
372 is old. It is at a critical point where funding is needed and the reason why the city is asking for it.

373  
374 Commissioner Reif asked if the city is cautiously optimistic about getting the funding from the  
375 State.

376  
377 Mr. Trudgeon indicated he was. Last year the city got a bill introduced with local Legislatures  
378 supporting it with a hearing. The city has also gone through the formal process of going through  
379 the State for bonding dollars this summer. He feels the city is in a good position for getting  
380 funding.

381  
382 Commissioner Harold thought when looking at a 5.5 percent levy increase the twelve percent  
383 budget increase is an eye opener, but it was explained to him zeroing in on the number 5.5 as the  
384 total levy increase when considering the three primary categories explained, this is not  
385 unreasonable. He thought an 8.4 percent increase for the median value of a home is higher than  
386 what he would like but he thought the city is also tied to the realities of the funding formula.  
387 There is not really much that can be done to shift the formula when home values go up. Based  
388 on the information received on the call data, adding the additional fire fighters and police officers  
389 seemed reasonable to him as well.

390  
391 Commissioner Lee indicated he was comfortable with it.

392  
393 Chair Hodder asked for clarity on Attachment A, Item 6; there were two yellow highlights on the  
394 OSTC Debt 31 and 32 and what those debts were.

395  
396 Mr. Trudgeon indicated he was not positive but suspected those were related to the Park  
397 Improvement Bond from 2011 and the city also borrowed for the Fire Station. He noted he  
398 would follow up on that.

399  
400 Chair Hodder explained as far as the Budget By Detail/By Function the four million for the  
401 OVAL, the Finance Commission had discussed previously about the General Reserves and doing  
402 a gradual approach and he wondered if something similar could be done for the OVAL such as  
403 splitting that in half and would that be an option.

404  
405 Commissioner Murray thought mechanically either it gets fixed or it does not.

406  
407 Mr. Trudgeon noted this is all predicated on the State and all that does is change that 12.8  
408 percent and does not change the levy or tax impact to anyone in the community. If looking for  
409 tax relief that would not provide the tax relief.

410  
411 Commissioner Reif moved, seconded by Commissioner Harold to support and recommend to the  
412 city Council the 2020 Budget proposed by the City Manager. **The motion carried**  
413 **unanimously.**

414  
415 **Establish a Recommendation on the 2020-2039 Capital Improvement Plan**

416  
417 Chair Hodder noted at previous Finance Commission meetings the group reviewed the 2020-  
418 2039 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) along with the preliminary recommended funding  
419 strategies. The Council has asked the Finance Commission to establish a recommendation on the  
420 CIP funding strategies to be delivered at the upcoming joint meeting on September 16, 2019.

421  
422 Mr. Trudgeon reviewed the adjustment to Strategy One with the Commission.

423  
424 Commissioner Harold reviewed the different scenarios for the Pavement Management Program  
425 with the Commission.

426  
427 Chair Hodder liked the recommendation in the Pavement Management Program that annually  
428 smaller contributions are made to shore the fund up to get it closer to stability. He did not like  
429 the idea of running out in 2035 and thought the founding mothers and fathers of the city that did  
430 this thirty years ago intended this to be a long-term asset. He thought his recommendation would  
431 be something similar to the second graph but also some clarification on when the internal loan  
432 would be paid back to the fund.

433  
434 Mr. Trudgeon explained in relation to that, there are a couple of possibilities that have not been  
435 executed, one is the sale of the Fire Station on Fairview along with the possibility of some funds  
436 going towards doing that. That is his thought and commitment that it will all be paid back to this  
437 fund and is the intention of the city Council as well. It is just a matter of the timing of it.

438  
439 Commissioner Harold reviewed the General Facilities Fund and Park Improvement scenarios  
440 with the Commission.

441  
442 Commissioner Harold moved, seconded by Commissioner Reif to recommend to the city  
443 Council the Pavement Management Program recommendation with the long-term smaller levy  
444 increases, General Facility Fund recommendation which includes the five-million-dollar cash  
445 infusion in 2020 and the Park Improvement Program recommendation to add \$375,000 in 2020.  
446 **The motion carried unanimously.**

447  
448 **Confirm Discussion Topics & Recommendations for the Joint City Council-Finance**  
449 **Commission Meeting**

450

451 City Manager Trudgeon explained earlier this year, the city Council scheduled a joint city  
452 Council-Finance Commission meeting for Monday, September 16, 2019. The purpose of the  
453 joint meeting is to receive the Commission’s recommendation on Establishing a Policy for the  
454 use of monies held in the Cash Reserve Fund, cash reserve target levels for the Parks &  
455 Recreation Operating Fund, alternative Investment options, changes in funding strategies for the  
456 2020-2039 CIP, use of the newly-established Cash Reserve Fund to provide monies for the EAB  
457 Program and the 2020 City Manager recommended budget and tax levy. The Finance  
458 Commission is asked to discuss the topics and prepare recommendations for the city Council  
459 consideration at the joint meeting.

460

461 Commissioner Harold suggested reordering some items and have the Budget and CIP  
462 recommendations first in case there is not enough time to discuss everything.

463

464 Chair Hodder asked how the Commission would like to delegate the topics to discuss.

465

466 Commissioner Murray indicated he would discuss the Alternative Investment Options with the  
467 Council.

468

469 Commissioner Harold indicated he would discuss the 2020-2039 CIP.

470

471 Chair Hodder noted he would discuss the 2020 City Manager recommended Budget and Tax  
472 Levy with the Council.

473

474 Commissioner Reif indicated he would discuss the EAB topic.

475

476 Commissioner Lee thought the Park and Recreation Cash Reserve Target Levels seemed pretty  
477 simple and volunteered to discuss it with the Council.

478

479 Commissioner Sagisser noted he did not have a lot of history with any of the items and did not  
480 feel comfortable presenting them.

481

482 Chair Hodder indicated he would cover the Establishing a Policy for the Cash Reserve Fund.

483

484 Mr. Trudgeon reviewed with the Commission the order the items will be discussed at the joint  
485 meeting.

486

487 The Commission concurred.

488

489 **Identify Discussion Items for the Future Meeting**

490

- 491 • Review the Adopted 2020 Preliminary Budget and Levy
- 492 • Review the city Council Joint Meeting and feedback
- 493 • Review and Adopt the 2020 Proposed Utility Rates

494

495 **Adjourn**

496

497 Commissioner Harold made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Murray to adjourn. The

498 **motion passed unanimously.**

499

500 Meeting adjourned at 8:08 p.m.