From:
 Pat Trudgeon

 To:
 Thomas Brooks

 Cc:
 Rebecca Olson

Subject: FW: Conversion Therapy

Date: Friday, November 5, 2021 8:10:16 AM

Patrick Trudgeon | City Manager

O: 651.792-7021 | | F: 651.792.7020

pat.trudgeon@cityofroseville.com

RESEVILLE

2660 Civic Center Drive | Roseville, MN 55113

Facebook | Twitter | YouTube

From: John M. Kysylyczyn

Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 8:23 PM

To: *RVCouncil <city.council@cityofroseville.com>

Subject: Conversion Therapy

Caution: This email originated outside our organization; please use caution.

Mayor and Council,

Can someone give me a list of those providing this service in the city?

Is there anyone? Has the city attempted to contact them to let them know this is on the council agenda?

I looked through the city council packet and perhaps I missed it, but I cannot find a list of providers.

I am also at a loss as to why there is no funding for enforcement, or funding for training whoever will enforce this. Who is going to enforce this? What training will they receive to identify it?

Just wondering if this is more about virtue signaling than actually addressing and enforcing a problem that exists in the city.

John M. Kysylyczyn Consultant, Lobbyist, Executive Director; K Solutions LLC, owner; RPM State Central Committee Delegate Home office:

Mayor of Roseville, MN 2000-2004



Virus-free. www.avast.com

Summary of Staff Communications on Conversion Therapy Ban

With consistency in mind on the approach the city has taken with other proposed ordinances, the below timeline lists the opportunities available for public input or engagement as well as some additional efforts to communicate this particular ordinance on conversion therapy through email and social media.

- 1. Consideration of Conversion Therapy Ban was published with the May 19, 2021 HRIEC Meeting Agenda & Packet; email notifications also sent to subscribed list
- HRIEC Presented a Work Plan update and a recommendation to Prohibit Conversion Therapy at the July 12, 2021 City Council meeting. This was included in the council agenda and packet; also emailed to subscribers.
- 3. Discussion of Conversion Therapy Ban was published with the July 21, 2021 HRIEC Meeting Agenda & Packet, email notifications also sent to subscribed list
- 4. Discussion of Conversion Therapy Ban was published with the August 18, 2021 HRIEC Meeting Agenda & Packet; email notifications also sent to subscribed list
- Discussion of Conversion Therapy Ban was published with the September 22, 2021 HRIEC Meeting Agenda & Packet; email notifications also sent to subscribed list
- 6. HRIEC Chair Djevi shared in an article titled, "Building Healthy and Sustainable Communities: Physicians' Roles Outside of our Clinical Settings" for the Twin Cities Medical Society, the HRIEC's recommendation to council to ban conversion therapy within the city.
 - a. The City of Roseville reposted on the city's Facebook page on September 3, 2021
 - b. Also reposted by Chair Djevi on his person Facebook page on September 3, 2021
- 7. Invitation to Provide Input at HRIEC Meeting included in eNews on October 8, 2021
- 8. Discussion of Conversion Therapy Ban was published with the October 20, 2021 HRIEC Meeting Agenda & Packet; email notifications also sent to subscribed list
- 9. Proposed Ordinance sent to Dani Tollefson, GSA Staff Liaison at RAHS on October 11, 2021
- 10. Proposed Ordinance communicated to RAHS Progressives on October 11, 2021
- 11. City of Roseville Page Facebook Posting on October 19, 2021 to encourage attendance and participation at the HRIEC meeting on October 20 with a link to register.
- 12. Proposed Ordinance posted to the City of Roseville website on Friday October 29, 2021
- 13. Conversion Therapy Ordinance announcement included in eNews scheduled for November 5, 2021 release.

Human Rights, Inclusion and Engagement Commission Meeting Minutes May 19, 2021 - Excerpt

a. Consideration of Recommendation of Conversion Therapy Ban

Mr. Brooks reviewed the recommendation of Conversion Therapy Ban item for the Commission to consider. He asked for the Commission to discuss whether they would like to bring this forward to the City Council as a recommendation.

Vice-Chair Lee asked Mr. Brooks what proposal he would recommend the Commission choose.

Mr. Brooks indicated his recommendation would be to stay with the parameters of what many of the other cities throughout the State have gone with which would be to adopt an ordinance prohibiting the use of conversion therapy practices on children under the age of 18 within the City of Roseville with civil penalties, in the form of an administrative fine. Most of them have some sort of administrative fine established for the first offense and then it increases with subsequent offenses. In this case, he believed the increase is already built into the City Code that it would increase with subsequent offenses.

Chair Djevi opened the floor for Commission discussion.

Commissioner Macomber asked if Mr. Brooks could provide some clarification on the part that says, "this did not apply to clergy", so in other words, she wondered if she were correctly understanding that a form of conversion therapy taking place led by clergy would not be subject to this rule. She also asked what are the kinds of organizations or professionals that would conduct conversion therapy.

Mr. Brooks explained it is within the scope or religious clergy to advise families on issues related to their beliefs. A recent study showed that eighty percent of all conversion therapies are done by clergy while the rest would be done by mental health practitioners. He reviewed some of the kinds of professionals that perform conversion therapy.

Commissioner Becker stated sort of in line with CultureBrokers, the Strategic Plan and the commitments the Commission have all been a part of reviewing, she would recommend moving forward with something like this. She was not quite sure what a proclamation feels important and relevant in light of the other work the City is doing. She was not sure if there is enough of an impact around that. She did like "adopt an ordinance prohibiting the use of conversion therapy practices on children under the age of 18 within the City of Roseville with civil penalties, in the form of an administrative fine." for that reason. She thought in terms of the narrative that is one their racial equity and inclusion statement it does feel like the Commission should do

something about this because to not do something does not align with what the City is doing in terms of inclusion and respect.

Commissioner James indicated he liked "adopt a proclamation denouncing the practice of conversion therapy or related treatment" and "adopt an ordinance prohibiting the use of conversion therapy practices on children under the age of 18 within the City of Roseville with civil penalties, in the form of an administrative fine.", because it does not seem like each one is mutually exclusive of the other. A proclamation could be made as well as adopting an Ordinance.

Mr. Brooks indicated both could be made but if the Commission were to proceed with an Ordinance, that would be a proclamation and then some. He did not think the Commission needed to recommend both. There could also be a recommendation to the State to proceed with some State Legislation as well because this would only be specific to the City of Roseville.

Commissioner James thought a proclamation could be done fairly quickly and would fit with the LGBTQIA+ proclamation whereas an Ordinance will take time to do with drafting it and the reading of it as well Council approval. If they cannot do both than he would recommend the second one.

Youth Commissioner Xie indicated she liked combining the first two.

Chair Djevi indicated he did some reading on this and he did not read in the literature anything about exempting the religious clergy from this kind of ordinance or proclamations. He asked Mr. Brooks to comment on how he came up with exempting the clergy.

Mr. Brooks explained the idea and best practice with this is that it is morally defensible to, when the ordinances are similar. Once it starts to get into controlling what religious clergy can do as it relates to their beliefs and their constituents, it starts to get into these very difficult legal areas where the City may end up going to court over this. Anytime there is infringement on religious liberties it gets very difficult and would be very difficult of the City to maintain. That is why most cities went the route of not including religious clergy within this.

Chair Djevi thought the Commission cannot do anything to put the City in legal jeopardy of lawsuit and he could understand that but when Youth Commission Xie and other Commissioners are pointing out that if they are exempting eighty percent of the people who practice than, are they ok with taking twenty percent.

Commissioner Macomber thought the community thought of this as a problem and understanding it and also those affected, the LGBTQIA+ community seeing the City take this stand and is positive to more than twenty percent of those.

Human Rights, Inclusion and Engagement Commission Minutes May 19, 2021 – *Minutes* Page 3 of 3

Mr. Brooks reviewed conversion therapy statistics in the US with the Commission.

Commissioner Peju thought this was a conversation that should be continued to discuss further. She agreed with Youth Commissioner Xie because it feels like window dressing because a large part of the people causing the harm are not included in this. She questioned what she would be advising and recommending if a large part of the people causing the harm are not going to be held accountable. In a personal capacity, she is a person of faith, so she thought there is a way that if they choose and recommend something to the City Council, there is a way to work at it and not just say the Commission did it just to tick it off and say they are one of the cities to ban it. She thought some work could be done to get something good accomplished.

Chair Djevi wondered if there could be something more specific to Roseville and find a way to propose something like Golden Valley has done.

Mr. Brooks thought it was something possible to pursue an ordinance. To Commissioner Peju's point, this is sort of a band aid with more work to be done and he thought the Commission needed to send a message to the State that there needs to be some legislation made and then continue this work.

Vice-Chair Lee asked if the motion could include religious clergy because it is a recommendation and if the Council did not want to include that group it could be removed.

Mr. Brooks explained the Commission can make any recommendation, but he thought if including religious clergy is going to jeopardize this than he did not think it should be included. He indicated he would need to talk to the City Attorney to find out his recommendation as well.

Commissioner Peju noted she could sit down with the City Attorney and Mr. Brooks about language because it does not have to be language that penalizes or restricts religious clergy rights but there is a way to get around that.

Commissioner Allen moved and Commissioner Macomber seconded a motion to recommend to City Council to propose moving this forward to the next step, based on the language in ordinance proposal two with an administrative fine for the first offense at one thousand dollars and to ask the City Council to send a message to the State legislature banning conversion therapy. Motion passed unanimously.

1	Human Rights, Inclusion and Engagement Commission
2	Meeting Minutes
3	June 16, 2021 - Excerpt
4	
5	 HRIEC recommendations update – Just Deeds and Conversion Therapy Ban
6	Ordinance
7	 Introduction of the Just Deeds recommendation
8	 Introduction of the Conversion Therapy Ban Ordinance

Human Rights, Inclusion and Engagement Commission 1 **Meeting Minutes** 2 **July 21, 2021 - Excerpt** 3 4 5 6 7 Approve Agenda 8 9 Vice Chair Lee indicated the Item on Conversion Therapy Ban Ordinance Discussion should be moved directly after approval of the agenda. 10 11 Commissioner Palmquist moved and Commissioner Macomber seconded a motion to approve 12 the Agenda as presented. Motion passed unanimously. 13 14 **Conversion Therapy Ban Ordinance Discussion** 15 16 17 Vice-Chair Lee introduced City Attorney Gaughan. 18 Mr. Brooks reviewed previous discussion that from the Commission regarding this subject. He 19 20 also discussed the Governors Executive Order and his discussion with Chair Djevi and Vice-Chair Lee. He indicated both Chair Djevi and Vice-Chair Lee wanted to move forward with this 21 because the Executive Order is not reliable and also the Executive Order did not actually ban or 22 prohibit Conversion Therapy. The Governor does not have the authority to do that. 23 24 Commissioner James asked if the Executive Order covered religious organizations as far as 25 Medicaid or Medicare, the payments to them. If a religious organization used conversion 26 therapy and then tried to get reimbursement from the Government, he wondered if the 27 Government would reimburse the religious organization under the Executive Order. 28 29 City Attorney Gaughan indicated he did not have an exact answer for that. He believed everyone 30 was still trying to sort through how the Executive Order is going to work. His expectation would 31 be that scenario would be extremely rare where a religious organization doing the religious 32 practices would be seeking Medicaid or Medicare reimbursement. 33 34 Vice Chair Lee thought in order to be reimbursed from insurance there would need to be a 35 licensed counselor. She knew some churches do have a counseling center with licensed 36 37 therapists. 38 39 City Attorney Gaughan indicated he drafted the Ordinance with open space for the Commission to craft the purpose and findings for the Ordinance and he would then wordsmith and place them 40 in the Ordinance. 41 42 43 Vice-Chair Lee indicated in discussion with City Attorney Gaughan that the Commission will post the draft Ordinance and welcome community feedback at the next Commission meeting, as 44 45 well as at the City Council meeting where the Commission will be presenting the Ordinance and then for some of the findings, reach out to some of the people who already emailed the 46

Human Rights, Inclusion and Engagement Commission Minutes July 21, 2021 – *Draft Minutes* Page 2 of 2

49

52

55

58

62

69

70

71

72 73

82

- Commission and given feedback to make sure it is fine with them if some of the information is included in the Ordinance.
- Youth Commissioner Xie asked if the people who provided the feedback be invited to the next meeting.
- Vice-Chair Lee indicated everyone is invited but when the Commission asks them if it is ok to include their ideas then the people could be invited at that point.
- City Attorney Gaughan noted if there are statements from licensing bodies or agencies that the Commission would feel is appropriate that would be a proper spot to include those.
- Commissioner James wondered if it would be worthwhile for the Commission to consult the bans that have been issued in other cities throughout Minnesota in order to get some direction or idea on how to proceed. He wondered where that responsibility would lie.
- Mr. Brooks indicated that was a directive from Council. The Council really wanted to hear from other cities that passed ordinances to know what challenges they have run into. That technically would be a HRIEC responsibility to do that before it is presented to Council. He noted he could help out where he can. He indicated he has reached out to Duluth and have heard back from them today. He understood this was one of the original models in the State, so every city has sort of derived their version from that.
 - Vice-Chair Lee indicated Chair Djevi, and Commissioner Peju are the only two people on the subcommittee for this and she wondered if there was another other Commissioner that would want to serve on this committee as well.
- Commissioner Palmquist indicated she would be willing to help out.
- Mr. Brooks noted this subcommittee would complete the Ordinance, conducting the research that the Council has asked for, making sure the community provides input and helping prepare a presentation for the City Council.
- Vice-Chair Lee indicated they are hoping a lot of the work can be done before the next Commission meeting.
- Commissioner Macomber indicated she would serve on the subcommittee as well.
- Commissioner Becker indicated she would be willing to help put together the presentation to the City Council.

Human Rights, Inclusion and Engagement Commission Meeting Minutes August 18, 2021 - Excerpt

a. Conversion Therapy Ban Ordinance Discussion

Commissioner Palmquist summarized the Conversion Therapy Ban Ordinance discussion in the subgroup that was formed. She noted two items popped up during discussion which the subgroup wanted to bring before the entire Commission. First of all when the subgroup started looking over the draft of the Ordinance they did not feel comfortable filling in the purposes part that the attorney had left blank. The group felt the Commission was more advisory than creators of an ordinance. The subgroup hoped to have a meeting with the City Attorney soon to fill it in. The second thing with the 80/20 clergy versus non-clergy, how can they bring a wider scope to the whole ordinance. That was something the subgroup is trying to figure out with the attorney. The other piece is this is going to be a long project and the subgroup will have updates, but it will not be done in a month. There is a lot of research, planning and discussion that needs to go into it to actually make a difference.

Chair Djevi added the subgroup is going to actually try to reach out to the people who brought this to the City's attention and use that information as data to back the proposed ordinance. At this point the subgroup is trying to gather as much information as possible and meet with the City Attorney to see what kind of legal language can be put into an ordinance like this.

Commissioner Becker asked if there are any parameters around interviewing people or should there be someone like a licensed therapist to conduct those interviews.

Mr. Brooks explained he would not suggest there be interviews. One thing that was mentioned at the City Council meeting was to have some victims of conversion therapy speak at the meeting. That is a huge ask to have someone come and speak publicly about that. He indicated he was personally uncomfortable with that, but they can certainly reach out and ask.

Chair Djevi wondered if someone, such as an outside researcher, could do additional research on this and maybe get paid out of the budget to do the work rather than have staff spend a lot of time on researching this.

Mr. Brooks indicated there are a lot of questions with that. Originally he wanted to offer to help the HRIEC with all of this work so that the HRIEC could do all of the work and research and have this be a community led and community driven initiative, especially when it gets into the public comment period and why Roseville should take this on for it to be community led. This was really important to staff leadership and the City Council. That is why he decided to take a step back on pushing through a lot

of these things. Within the scope of what was asked by Council, they certainly have the capacity to do that, filling in the purpose of findingspro of the ordinance in consultation with the attorney. Reaching out to the cities that already have ordinances to find out what their experience has been since passing the ordinances, staff can prepare emails to send. Putting together a presentation and the extra work of hopefully finding someone to share a testimonial, there are a number of cities in the State that have already passed similar ordinances and have already laid the framework and the groundwork for the research. That was why he pushed back because he felt like the Commission is trying to reinvent the wheel when this has already been done in other cities. He would urge the Commission to leverage that and rely on the blueprint that has already been laid out by many of the other cities. He knew the one thing that Roseville is trying to do different, which he did not see it as being successful is tackling the religious exemptions. Beyond that, this is what other cities have done and Bloomington just passed their ordinance.

Commissioner Peju indicated what Mr. Brooks brought up is what the subgroup discussed. But to make sure the ordinance was not cookie cutter and just for Roseville, the subgroup saw the need to make sure that it was community led from people who are in Roseville and for the Commission to come up with that information which is why the subgroup thought it might be helpful to have some research assistance to have all of that providing well informed information. The subgroup did want to avoid reinventing the wheel.

Commissioner Allen indicated there are two organizations that come to mind that he could introduce the Commission to. Depending on who would be willing to speak, he would be concerned about having a victim come and speak but he would strongly suggest looking at advocacy to support the victim. He noted he could work on reaching out to some organizations if the subgroup would like him to do that.

Chair Djevi indicated the statistics on conversion therapy and suicides can be received from other communities but what is happening in Roseville cannot be found anywhere else and the City does not have that data. He asked if the Commission could get as specific as that.

Mr. Brooks was not sure how the City can get that data, but they could probably reach out to some of the organizations that are local to find out what localized information that they have. He knew that information was hard to get even on State and National levels. He thought it was worth asking but he was hesitant about it.

Commissioner James suggested to go back in the community response forms and try to contact those people to see if they had any local information.

Commissioner Becker indicated the subgroup already did that. She indicated the hurdle the subgroup is trying to get over is that the people connected to this do not know the City.

Human Rights, Inclusion and Engagement Commission Minutes August 18, 2021, 2021 – *Draft Minutes* Page 3 of 3

Commissioner Peju thought that is why the Commission has done some of the grunt work and this work would benefit from some research assistance, especially with Roseville being so strategically located to the University of Minnesota area, it would not be difficult to have a student research assistant to help look through some of the work that has already been done on their behalf and then some of the work the Commission has done. That would be also data mining information that they could provide information on the drafting of the ordinance itself for the City. They want to build on the information that either Duluth or Bloomington and other cities have done.

Chair Djevi thought in the interest of time and to have a good product in the end, he suggested the subcommittee meet again to see what the next steps should be. He agreed this should not be rushed through.

1		Human Rights, Inclusion and Engagement Commission
2		Meeting Minutes
3		SEPTEMBER 22, 2021 - Excerpt
4		
5		
6		
7	a.	Conversion Therapy Ban Ordinance Continued Discussion
8		Commissioner Palmquist updated the Commission on the Conversion Therapy Ban
9		Ordinance work being done.
10		
11		Mr. Brooks indicated the one request he had was if Commissioner Palmquist could let
12		him know a date for presentation to the City Council.
13		
14		Chair Djevi noted he sent a few articles to the subgroup. He indicated the subgroup is
15		still working on the Ordinance and hoped to have something to present in October.

Human Rights, Inclusion and Engagement Commission Meeting Minutes October 20, 2021 - Excerpt

a. Discussion and Public Comment on Conversion Therapy Ban Ordinance Chair Dievi summarized the proposed Ordinance Prohibiting Conversion Therapy.

Commissioner Palmquist indicated she received a list of people that have reached out to them from other Commissioners and she sent out an invitation to them to this meeting and also emphasized the City Council meeting where the Commission will actually be presenting. She also told the individuals to write to the City Council on this subject as well.

Commissioner Palmquist explained she also reached out to a couple of people at ROZ, she has not heard back from them yet but did connect to two therapists there at the vigil the other night for Principal Brian Koland. They are very excited and willing to share this information. She indicated she is putting together an email with the Ordinance and all the information and those therapists will spread the word.

Commissioner Palmquist reviewed key dates with the Commission.

Mr. Brooks explained he has also been reaching out as well to supplement what the Commission is doing. He noted he had an organization reach out, Dignity Twin Cities, about donating a tree. He did reach out to an individual who is willing to speak at the November 8th meeting. He also reached out to the schools but has not heard back yet. He indicated he has not yet connected with the progressives' group yet. Ms. Olson did meet with them about youth commissioner recruitment so they did have an opportunity to mention that. He did want to formally send an invitation to them as well. He indicated the Ordinance is ready and will include any communications received in the packet for Council. He believed it will be included in the packet as discussion so they want to be clear on what the request is for the Council, in terms of approving the Ordinance.

Commissioner Peju explained as it comes to this topic of the Conversion Therapy Ban, she wanted to say that she thought there might have been emails earlier that she has not added to their spreadsheet that may not be in favor of the ban. As a HRIEC Commission, regardless of what the Commissioners opinions are, she wanted to make sure that all citizens, especially those that reach out to them feel heard, even if their thoughts, comments and perspectives may not reflect whatever the decision is. She indicated she will go through her emails again and get those ones to the Commission.

Human Rights, Inclusion and Engagement Commission Minutes October 20, 2021 – *Draft Minutes* Page 2 of 2

Commissioner James thought his recollection was that those people were out of State 45 and not local residents. He asked if the Commission should include those comments if 46 they are not residents of Roseville. 47 48 49 Commissioner Peju indicated she had a different recollection. One or two individuals seem to have Roseville addresses. 50 51 Commissioner James thought he had all of those in a file. He indicated he would look 52 and send the information to her. 53 54 55 Commissioner Xie indicated she had questions about reaching out because she did know students in the LGBTQ community that are also a part of the gay/straight 56 alliance at her school. She thought the teacher would talk to the students about it in 57 that club but apparently she has not replied yet so she wondered if she should reach 58 out to the students personally and talk about it with them. Also, if she talked to the 59 students about it, how should she bring it up. 60 61 Mr. Brooks indicated there would be only two opportunities to do that between this 62 meeting and November 8th. He thought Youth Commissioner Xie could certainly 63 work with her fellow classmates an even reach out to that sponsor. The sponsor did 64 reply and indicated she would bring this up to the group last week. He has not heard 65 back from the sponsor on the feedback that was received or if that actually happened. 66 67 Chair Djevi thanked the subgroup for all the work done. He thought the community 68 was proud of the work being done. 69