


REQUEST FOR COUNCIL ACTION

Date: 4/26/10
Item No.: 11.a

Department Approval



Acting City Manager Approval



Item Description: Infrastructure Improvements for the Twin Lakes AUAR Area- Final Report Update

BACKGROUND

On February 25, 2008, the City Council adopted the Infrastructure Improvements for the Twin Lakes AUAR Area- Final Report. The purpose of the document was to develop proportionate cost share for individual properties within the Twin Lakes area for the public infrastructure needed to support the redevelopment. The Council approved an update of this report on December 15, 2008. The attached map and table show the 17 roadway infrastructure improvements that were identified as mitigation measures in the AUAR that made up the network of public improvements.

In summary, the report developed overall cost estimates for the public infrastructure improvements. We then estimated the traffic generation from each land use proposed as a part of AUAR Scenarios B&C, and routed the PM peak hour trips through the network. This established a total number of network trips for the planned build out of the Twin Lakes AUAR area. Using the total cost and total network trips, the report established a cost allocation rate per network trip for each type of use; Residential, Commercial- office and Commercial- retail.

The cost per network trip is a function of the total network trips contributed and subsequent cost allocation of specific development types based on their vicinity to the proposed improvements. As development proposals come forward, their respective land uses are reviewed against the assumptions contained in the study in order to determine that the specific number of network trips associated cost allocation amount is appropriate for the proposed use.

The City Council has requested that staff review the study on an annual basis in order to ensure that the cost allocation rates assigned to redevelopment are consistent with the real costs to construct the public improvements. In 2009, the first phase of public infrastructure construction was completed. We have determined that the cost allocation rate per network trip will need to be adjusted to ensure that the real costs for these improvements are recovered.

The original cost estimates were created using the best information available at that time. They did not include environmental costs including soil engineering, remediation plan development, and clean up costs. They also did not include building demolition costs or actual appraised right-of-way value. Finally, they assumed that the individual projects would be constructed under one construction contract, achieving lower unit pricing. Upon review of actual costs for the construction of the first phase of the public infrastructure construction, we are recommending that we update the cost allocation rates to reflect the real costs for these public improvements. The City also has been successful in obtaining grants for the proposed infrastructure work.

32 **POLICY OBJECTIVE**

33 The intent of the Infrastructure Study was to allocate public improvement costs related to
34 redevelopment in the Twin Lakes area. We have incorporated the actual Twin Lakes Infrastructure
35 Phase 1 costs and distributed them consistent with the original report. The costs for Phase 2 & 3 of the
36 infrastructure are estimated at this time. The study will be updated when final costs are available. The
37 City also has been successful in obtaining grants for the proposed infrastructure work. When grant
38 funds are received, they will be deducted from the final cost of the improvements.

39 **FINANCIAL IMPACTS**

40 The Infrastructure Improvements for the Twin Lakes AUAR Area- Final Report estimates each parcel's
41 obligation for its share of costs for the infrastructure. In the long term, developers will contribute
42 towards the cost of the improvements when their property redevelops. The allocation will be
43 incorporated into development agreements, with contributions calculated according to the cost
44 allocation formulas described in the report.

45 **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

46 Approve the amendments to the Infrastructure Improvements for the Twin Lakes AUAR Area- Final
47 Report.

48 **REQUESTED COUNCIL ACTION**

49 Approved the amendments to the Infrastructure Improvements for the Twin Lakes AUAR Area- Final
50 Report.

Prepared by: Debra Bloom, City Engineer

Attachments: A: Infrastructure Improvement Location Map
B: Twin Lakes AUAR Boundary Map
C: Figure 19- 2008
D: Revised Figure 19
E: Figure 21- 2008
F: Revised Figure 21



Figure 2




Figure 19
2030 Weekday PM Peak Hour - Cost Allocation per Network Trip based on proposed Use

Nov-08

Sub Area	Block	Proposed Land Use	SCENARIO C		AVERAGE COST PER NETWORK TRIP BASED ON LAND USE AND LOCATION
			Network Trips	Total Cost Allocation	
I	1a	Commercial - Office	2050	\$2,445,728	\$1,193
		Residential	136	\$176,531	\$1,298
	1b	Commercial - Office	823	\$991,030	\$1,204
	2	Commercial - Office	2114	\$3,137,459	\$1,484
		Residential	80	\$134,919	\$1,692
	3a, 3b	Commercial - Retail	418	\$550,152	\$1,316
		Transit	1052	\$1,597,921	\$1,519
	4	Commercial - Retail	2036	\$3,155,774	\$1,550
		Commercial - Office	321	\$495,598	\$1,545
	5	Commercial - Office	395	\$712,948	\$1,805
	8	Commercial - Office	105	\$197,771	\$1,880
		Residential	63	\$121,136	\$1,932
II	6	Commercial - Office	77	\$101,100	\$1,313
	7	Commercial - Office	68	\$87,309	\$1,284
		Commercial - Retail	1146	\$1,463,185	\$1,277
	9	Commercial - Office	642	\$839,879	\$1,308
III	11	Residential - Already approved	N/A	N/A	N/A
	12	Commercial - Office	1057	\$1,156,620	\$1,094
		Residential	205	\$218,534	\$1,066
N/A	N/A	Year 2030 Background Traffic	18,520	\$4,958,341	\$268
N/A	N/A	Northwestern College	408	\$191,469	\$469
Total			32139	\$23,382,039	

Figure 19

Mar-10

2030 Weekday PM Peak Hour - Cost Allocation per Network Trip based on proposed Use

Sub Area	Block	Proposed Land Use	SCENARIO C		AVERAGE COST PER NETWORK TRIP BASED ON LAND USE AND
			Network Trips	Total Cost Allocation	
I	1a	Commercial - Office	2050	\$2,850,070	\$1,390
		Residential	136	\$207,479	\$1,526
	1b	Commercial - Office	823	\$1,154,658	\$1,403
	2	Commercial - Office	2114	\$3,743,377	\$1,770
		Residential	80	\$162,473	\$2,038
	3a, 3b	Commercial - Retail	418	\$635,009	\$1,519
		Transit	1052	\$1,597,921	\$1,519
	4	Commercial - Retail	2036	\$3,655,111	\$1,796
		Commercial - Office	321	\$573,746	\$1,789
	5	Commercial - Office	395	\$844,887	\$2,139
8	Commercial - Office	105	\$236,338	\$2,247	
	Residential	63	\$143,464	\$2,288	
II	6	Commercial - Office	77	\$109,220	\$1,418
	7	Commercial - Office	68	\$94,413	\$1,388
		Commercial - Retail	1146	\$1,470,289	\$1,283
	9	Commercial - Office	642	\$908,894	\$1,416
10	Residential	424	\$702,342	\$1,656	
III	11	Residential - Already approved	N/A	N/A	N/A
	12	Commercial - Office	1057	\$1,192,809	\$1,128
Residential		205	\$224,773	\$1,096	
N/A	N/A	Year 2030 Background Traffic	18,520	\$4,958,341	\$268
N/A	N/A	Northwestern College	408	\$191,469	\$469
Total			32139	\$25,657,084	



Allocation assigned

Table developed by SRF.
Updated by Roseville Staff

2030 Weekday PM Peak Hour - Land Use Breakdown - Updated Cost Allocation-
January 2009

Sub Area	Block	Land Use Type (ITE Land-Use Code)	Land Use Size	Improvement Location	County Road C and Cleveland Avenue	County Road C and Snelling Avenue	Snelling Avenue and County Road C2	Snelling Avenue and Lydia Avenue	Cleveland Avenue and I-35W Northbound Ramps	Cleveland Avenue and County Road C2	Cleveland Avenue and County Road D	County Road D and I-35W Northbound Ramps	County Road D and Fairview Avenue	Fairview Avenue and Lydia Avenue	Fairview Avenue and Terrace Drive
				Improvement #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				Improvement Costs	\$476,580	\$1,276,700	\$742,350	\$623,750	\$1,974,700	\$519,900	\$1,366,600	\$584,850	\$1,443,450	\$639,850	\$1,941,200
I	1a	Medical Office (720)	140,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	16.6%	1.9%	1.5%	1.3%	16.2%	23.6%	17.0%	16.3%	6.2%	0.0%	4.2%
				Trips	157	134	80	78	208	194	130	94	31	0	40
				Cost per Development	\$79,262	\$24,545	\$11,058	\$8,354	\$320,293	\$122,444	\$232,233	\$95,607	\$89,457	\$0	\$81,137
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	70 Units	% Trips at Intersection	1.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.3%	1.2%	1.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%
				Trips	9	6	2	1	18	11	9	6	2	0	2
				Cost per Development	\$4,544	\$1,099	\$276	\$107	\$27,718	\$6,943	\$16,078	\$6,103	\$5,687	\$0	\$4,057
	1b	Hi-Tech Office (710)	140,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	5.5%	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%	3.7%	9.8%	8.6%	9.4%	2.8%	0.6%	1.7%
				Trips	52	43	24	25	47	81	66	54	14	4	16
				Cost per Development	\$26,252	\$7,876	\$3,317	\$2,680	\$72,374	\$51,123	\$117,903	\$54,923	\$39,809	\$3,948	\$32,455
	2	General Office (710)	215,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	8.6%	0.8%	0.7%	0.7%	8.2%	7.0%	7.8%	8.9%	3.7%	2.2%	4.5%
				Trips	81	58	39	38	105	58	60	51	19	14	43
				Cost per Development	\$40,893	\$10,624	\$5,391	\$4,073	\$161,686	\$36,607	\$107,184	\$51,872	\$54,027	\$13,818	\$87,222
		Medical Office (720)	80,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	8.3%	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	6.6%	2.3%	2.6%	3.0%	3.5%	2.8%	8.5%
				Trips	78	52	37	36	85	19	20	17	18	18	81
				Cost per Development	\$39,378	\$9,525	\$5,114	\$3,859	\$130,889	\$11,992	\$35,728	\$17,291	\$51,183	\$17,766	\$164,302
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	45 Units	% Trips at Intersection	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.7%
				Trips	6	5	3	3	9	3	2	2	2	2	7
				Cost per Development	\$3,029	\$916	\$415	\$322	\$13,859	\$1,723	\$3,573	\$2,380	\$5,687	\$1,974	\$14,199
	3a, 3b	Park and Ride	460 spaces	% Trips at Intersection	2.2%	1.4%	0.3%	0.9%	4.8%	5.9%	6.4%	3.8%	1.0%	6.6%	4.6%
				Trips	21	97	14	52	61	49	49	22	5	43	44
				Cost per Development	\$10,602	\$17,768	\$1,935	\$5,574	\$93,932	\$30,927	\$87,534	\$22,376	\$14,218	\$42,441	\$89,251
		Hotel (310)	120 Rooms	% Trips at Intersection	2.8%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	3.7%	1.0%	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
				Trips	26	16	5	8	48	8	9	9	4	7	6
				Cost per Development	\$13,126	\$2,931	\$691	\$858	\$73,914	\$5,049	\$16,078	\$9,154	\$11,374	\$6,909	\$12,171
		Restaurant (932)	5,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	2.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	2.8%	0.7%	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
				Trips	20	11	3	5	36	6	5	5	3	5	4
				Cost per Development	\$10,097	\$2,015	\$415	\$536	\$55,435	\$3,787	\$8,932	\$5,085	\$8,531	\$4,935	\$8,114
	4	Service Mix - Retail (820)	175,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	16.2%	1.9%	1.7%	1.1%	19.8%	13.3%	14.2%	15.6%	20.7%	16.2%	14.8%
				Trips	153	131	92	66	254	110	109	90	105	105	142
				Cost per Development	\$77,242	\$24,064	\$12,717	\$7,087	\$391,127	\$69,187	\$194,718	\$91,142	\$299,336	\$103,903	\$288,036
		General Office (710)	70,000 sq. ft	% Trips at Intersection	3.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	2.6%	2.0%	2.2%	2.3%	3.3%	2.6%	2.6%
				Trips	29	18	14	11	33	16	17	13	17	17	25
				Cost per Development	\$14,641	\$3,297	\$1,923	\$1,179	\$50,816	\$10,338	\$30,369	\$13,619	\$48,340	\$16,779	\$50,711
	5	General Office (710)	105,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	1.8%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	2.4%	0.5%	0.9%	1.0%	2.4%	2.5%	8.6%
				Trips	17	22	14	19	31	4	7	6	12	16	82
				Cost per Development	\$8,582	\$4,030	\$1,935	\$2,037	\$47,736	\$2,525	\$12,505	\$6,103	\$34,122	\$15,792	\$166,331
	8	General Office (710)	40,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.8%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%	2.0%
				Trips	8	4	2	1	6	1	3	3	3	3	19
				Cost per Development	\$4,039	\$733	\$276	\$124	\$9,824	\$732	\$5,181	\$3,051	\$8,246	\$3,435	\$38,540
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	70 Units	% Trips at Intersection	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.6%	0.4%	1.1%
				Trips	2	3	2	1	6	1	2	2	3	3	11
				Cost per Development	\$1,010	\$462	\$232	\$90	\$9,239	\$530	\$3,751	\$1,709	\$8,531	\$2,487	\$22,313
II	6	Hi-Tech Office (710)	105,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	1.0%	0.8%	1.5%
				Trips	3	11	6	6	9	0	2	1	5	5	14
				Cost per Development	\$1,515	\$2,015	\$829	\$643	\$13,859	\$0	\$3,573	\$1,017	\$14,218	\$4,935	\$28,398
		Hi-Tech Office (710)	100,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.6%	0.5%	1.0%
				Trips	2	12	4	5	11	0	3	2	3	3	10
				Cost per Development	\$1,010	\$2,198	\$553	\$536	\$16,939	\$0	\$5,359	\$2,034	\$8,531	\$2,961	\$20,284
		Service Mix - Retail (820)	135,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	4.3%	1.5%	1.4%	0.9%	1.4%	0.2%	10.6%	12.2%	30.1%	23.6%	16.7%
				Trips	41	104	74	51	18	2	81	70	153	153	160
				Cost per Development	\$20,699	\$19,050	\$10,229	\$5,467	\$27,718	\$1,262	\$144,699	\$71,197	\$435,057	\$151,013	\$324,548
		Hi-Tech Office (710)	215,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	3.3%	0.9%	0.6%	0.5%	3.6%	0.3%	1.7%	2.0%	4.6%	3.5%	9.0%
				Trips	31	60	31	31	46	3	13	12	23	22	86
				Cost per Development	\$15,792	\$10,961	\$4,230	\$3,280	\$71,204	\$1,717	\$23,080	\$11,758	\$65,742	\$22,149	\$173,795
		General Office (710)	95,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	1.6%	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	1.7%	0.2%	0.8%	0.9%	2.1%	1.6%	4.2%
				Trips	15	28	14	14	22	1	6	5	11	11	40
				Cost per Development	\$7,431	\$5,158	\$1,990	\$1,544	\$33,508	\$808	\$10,861	\$5,533	\$30,937	\$10,423	\$81,786
	10	Multi-Family Housing (220)	295 Units	% Trips at Intersection	3.1%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	2.7%	0.6%	2.7%	3.1%	4.5%	3.5%	11.0%
				Trips	29	38	8	6	35	5	21	18	23	23	105
				Cost per Development	\$14,641	\$6,961	\$1,106	\$643	\$53,895	\$3,156	\$37,515	\$18,308	\$65,401	\$22,701	\$212,984

2030 Weekday PM Peak Hour - Land Use Breakdown - Updated Cost Allocation-
January 2009

Sub Area	Block	Land Use Type (ITE Land-Use Code)	Land Use Size	Improvement Location	County Road C and Cleveland Avenue	County Road C and Snelling Avenue	Snelling Avenue and County Road C2	Snelling Avenue and Lydia Avenue	Cleveland Avenue and I-35W Northbound Ramps	Cleveland Avenue and County Road C2	Cleveland Avenue and County Road D	County Road D and I-35W Northbound Ramps	County Road D and Fairview Avenue	Fairview Avenue and Lydia Avenue	Fairview Avenue and Terrace Drive
				Improvement #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				Improvement Costs	\$476,580	\$1,276,700	\$742,350	\$623,750	\$1,974,700	\$519,900	\$1,366,600	\$584,850	\$1,443,450	\$639,850	\$1,941,200
III	11	Multi-Family Housing (220)	125 Units	% Trips at Intersection											
				Trips											
				Cost per Development											
	12	General Office (710)	285,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	14.2%	0.9%	0.3%	0.8%	11.5%	24.0%	17.3%	14.1%	9.1%	4.3%	1.9%
				Trips	134	64	18	46	148	198	132	81	46	28	18
				Cost per Development	\$67,650	\$11,723	\$2,488	\$4,931	\$227,901	\$124,968	\$235,805	\$82,385	\$130,801	\$27,636	\$36,512
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	130 Units	% Trips at Intersection	3.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	3.6%	6.6%	2.5%	2.1%	1.0%	0.3%	0.2%
				Trips	30	9	3	3	46	54	19	12	5	2	2
				Cost per Development	\$15,146	\$1,649	\$415	\$322	\$70,834	\$34,082	\$33,942	\$12,205	\$14,218	\$1,974	\$4,057
N/A	N/A	Year 2030 Background Traffic	N/A	% Trips at Intersection	0.0%	86.7%	88.8%	89.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
				Trips	0	6044	4771	5180	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
				Cost per Development	\$0	\$1,107,101	\$659,472	\$555,249	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
N/A	N/A	Northwestern College	N/A	% Trips at Intersection			2.1%	2.3%						25.3%	
				Trips			111	133						164	
				Cost per Development			\$15,343	\$14,256						\$161,870	
TOTAL				% Trips	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
				Trips	944	6970	5371	5819	1282	824	765	575	508	648	957
				Cost per Development	\$476,580	\$1,276,700	\$742,350	\$623,750	\$1,974,700	\$519,900	\$1,366,600	\$584,850	\$1,443,450	\$639,850	\$1,941,200



- The costs associated with the two roundabouts along Twin Lakes Parkway are included in the Twin Lakes Parkway Cost
- The developments included in this scenario include all known developments as of August 2008. Parcels undeveloped were assumed to include developments identified for Scenario C.
- Background traffic not included in this table
- Any information regarding driveway locations or access that was received after February 2008 is included in this analysis.



Indicates an improvement at the intersection



Indicates a segment of roadway that is being improved

FINAL COPY

2030 Weekday PM Peak Hour - Land Use Breakdown - Updated Cost Allocation-
January 2009

January-09

Sub Area	Block	Land Use Type (ITE Land-Use Code)	Land Use Size	Improvement Location	County Road D (Three-Lane Section)	Twin Lakes Parkway	Prior Avenue (South of Twin Lakes Parkway)	Prior Avenue (North of Twin Lakes Parkway)	Fairview Avenue (Three-Lane Section)	Iona Lane	TOTAL
				Improvement #	12	13	14	15	16	17	
				Improvement Costs	\$1,747,850	\$6,375,034	\$669,600	\$987,600	\$1,585,950	\$426,075	\$23,382,039
I	1a	Medical Office (720)	140,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	2.4%	13.7%	20.1%	33.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%
				Trips	31	299	140	433	0	0	2,050
				Cost per Development	\$42,553	\$871,177	\$134,304	\$333,305	\$0	\$0	\$2,445,728
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	70 Units	% Trips at Intersection	0.2%	1.0%	1.3%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
				Trips	2	22	9	37	0	0	136
				Cost per Development	\$2,705	\$64,100	\$8,634	\$28,481	\$0	\$0	\$176,531
	1b	Hi-Tech Office (710)	140,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.8%	5.3%	9.9%	15.4%	0.2%	1.4%	2.6%
				Trips	10	116	69	197	4	1	823
				Cost per Development	\$13,526	\$337,982	\$66,193	\$151,642	\$3,268	\$5,758	\$991,030
	2	General Office (710)	215,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.4%	11.1%	13.8%	23.8%	0.7%	18.9%	3.9%
				Trips	5	243	96	305	14	14	1,243
				Cost per Development	\$6,763	\$708,013	\$92,094	\$234,776	\$11,438	\$80,609	\$1,707,091
		Medical Office (720)	80,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.0%	12.9%	15.0%	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%	2.7%
				Trips	0	283	105	4	18	0	871
				Cost per Development	\$0	\$824,559	\$100,728	\$3,348	\$14,705	\$0	\$1,430,368
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	45 Units	% Trips at Intersection	0.0%	1.2%	1.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
				Trips	0	27	8	1	0	0	80
				Cost per Development	\$0	\$78,668	\$7,674	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$134,919
	3a, 3b	Park and Ride	460 spaces	% Trips at Intersection	0.0%	8.9%	14.3%	15.6%	2.2%	77.0%	3.3%
				Trips	0	195	100	200	43	57	1,052
				Cost per Development	\$0	\$568,159	\$95,931	\$153,952	\$35,130	\$328,193	\$1,597,921
		Hotel (310)	120 Rooms	% Trips at Intersection	0.0%	1.8%	1.6%	3.0%	0.4%	1.4%	0.8%
				Trips	0	39	11	39	7	1	243
				Cost per Development	\$0	\$113,632	\$10,552	\$30,021	\$5,719	\$5,758	\$317,935
		Restaurant (932)	5,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.0%	1.3%	1.1%	2.3%	0.3%	1.4%	0.5%
				Trips	0	29	8	29	5	1	175
				Cost per Development	\$0	\$84,495	\$7,674	\$22,323	\$4,085	\$5,758	\$232,217
		Service Mix - Retail (820)	175,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.0%	22.5%	11.6%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	6.3%
				Trips	0	492	81	0	105	0	2,036
				Cost per Development	\$0	\$1,433,509	\$77,704	\$0	\$86,002	\$0	\$3,155,774
	4	General Office (710)	70,000 sq. ft	% Trips at Intersection	0.0%	3.5%	2.3%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1.0%
				Trips	0	77	16	0	17	0	321
				Cost per Development	\$0	\$224,350	\$15,349	\$0	\$13,888	\$0	\$495,598
	5	General Office (710)	105,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.2%	5.9%	2.3%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1.2%
				Trips	3	130	16	0	16	0	395
				Cost per Development	\$4,058	\$378,773	\$15,349	\$0	\$13,071	\$0	\$712,948
	8	General Office (710)	40,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.1%	1.7%	1.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%
				Trips	2	38	8	0	3	0	105
				Cost per Development	\$2,354	\$110,718	\$7,674	\$0	\$2,843	\$0	\$197,771
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	70 Units	% Trips at Intersection	0.2%	1.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%
				Trips	2	22	2	0	3	0	63
II	6	Hi-Tech Office (710)	105,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
				Trips	2	8	0	0	5	0	77
				Cost per Development	\$2,705	\$23,309	\$0	\$0	\$4,085	\$0	\$101,100
	7	Hi-Tech Office (710)	100,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%
				Trips	3	7	0	0	3	0	68
				Cost per Development	\$4,058	\$20,395	\$0	\$0	\$2,451	\$0	\$87,309
		Service Mix - Retail (820)	135,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	6.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%	0.0%	3.6%
				Trips	79	7	0	0	153	0	1,146
				Cost per Development	\$106,857	\$20,395	\$0	\$0	\$124,996	\$0	\$1,463,185
	9	Hi-Tech Office (710)	215,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.8%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	1.4%
				Trips	10	46	0	0	23	0	437
				Cost per Development	\$13,797	\$134,726	\$0	\$0	\$18,888	\$0	\$571,118
		General Office (710)	95,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.4%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%
				Trips	5	22	0	0	11	0	205
	10	Multi-Family Housing (220)	295 Units	% Trips at Intersection	1.2%	2.4%	2.1%	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%	1.3%
				Trips	15	52	15	4	27	0	424
				Cost per Development	\$20,289	\$151,509	\$14,390	\$3,079	\$22,058	\$0	\$648,635

2030 Weekday PM Peak Hour - Land Use Breakdown - Updated Cost Allocation-
January 2009

January-09

Sub Area	Block	Land Use Type (ITE Land-Use Code)	Land Use Size	Improvement Location	County Road D (Three-Lane Section)	Twin Lakes Parkway	Prior Avenue (South of Twin Lakes Parkway)	Prior Avenue (North of Twin Lakes Parkway)	Fairview Avenue (Three-Lane Section)	Iona Lane	TOTAL
				Improvement #	12	13	14	15	16	17	
				Improvement Costs	\$1,747,850	\$6,375,034	\$669,600	\$987,600	\$1,585,950	\$426,075	\$23,382,039
III	11	Multi-Family Housing (220)	125 Units	% Trips at Intersection							
				Trips							
				Cost per Development							
	12	General Office (710)	285,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	3.6%	1.3%	1.6%	2.3%	1.4%	0.0%	3.3%
				Trips	47	29	11	29	28	0	1,057
				Cost per Development	\$63,573	\$84,495	\$10,552	\$22,323	\$22,875	\$0	\$1,156,620
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	130 Units	% Trips at Intersection	0.4%	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%
				Trips	5	5	3	5	2	0	205
				Cost per Development	\$6,763	\$14,568	\$2,878	\$3,849	\$1,634	\$0	\$218,534
	N/A	Year 2030 Background Traffic	N/A	% Trips at Intersection	82.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	74.9%	0.0%	57.6%
				Trips	1071	0	0	0	1454	0	18,520
				Cost per Development	\$1,448,651	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,187,867	\$0	\$4,958,341
N/A	N/A	Northwestern College	N/A	% Trips at Intersection							1.3%
				Trips							408
				Cost per Development							\$191,469
TOTAL				% Trips	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
				Trips	1292	2188	698	1283	1941	74	32139
				Cost per Development	\$1,747,850	\$6,375,034	\$669,600	\$987,600	\$1,585,950	\$426,075	\$23,382,039



-
-
-

Figure 21

2030 Weekday PM Peak Hour - Land Use
Breakdown - Cost Allocation- March 2010

Sub Area	Block	Land Use Type (ITE Land-Use Code)	Land Use Size	Improvement Location	County Road C and Cleveland Avenue	County Road C and Snelling Avenue	Snelling Avenue and County Road C2	Snelling Avenue and Lydia Avenue	Cleveland Avenue and I-35W Northbound	Cleveland Avenue and County Road C2	Cleveland Avenue and County Road D	County Road D and I-35W Northbound	County Road D and Fairview Avenue	Fairview Avenue and Lydia Avenue
				Improvement #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
				Total Cost of improvements	\$476,580	\$1,276,700	\$742,350	\$623,750	\$1,974,700	\$519,900	\$1,366,600	\$584,850	\$1,443,450	\$639,850
				Improvement Cost to Allocate	\$476,580	\$1,276,700	\$742,350	\$623,750	\$1,974,700	\$519,900	\$1,366,600	\$584,850	\$1,443,450	\$639,850
I	1a	Medical Office (720)	140,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	16.6%	1.9%	1.5%	1.3%	16.2%	23.6%	17.0%	16.3%	6.2%	0.0%
				Trips	157	134	80	78	208	194	130	94	31	0
				Cost per Developmen	\$79,262	\$24,545	\$11,058	\$8,354	\$320,293	\$122,444	\$232,233	\$95,607	\$89,457	\$0
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	70 Units	% Trips at Intersection	1.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.3%	1.2%	1.0%	0.4%	0.0%
				Trips	9	6	2	1	18	11	9	6	2	0
				Cost per Developmen	\$4,544	\$1,099	\$276	\$107	\$27,718	\$6,943	\$16,078	\$6,103	\$5,687	\$0
	1b	Hi-Tech Office (710)	140,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	5.5%	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%	3.7%	9.8%	8.6%	9.4%	2.8%	0.6%
				Trips	52	43	24	25	47	81	66	54	14	4
				Cost per Developmen	\$26,252	\$7,876	\$3,317	\$2,680	\$72,374	\$51,123	\$117,903	\$54,923	\$39,809	\$3,948
	2	General Office (710)	215,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	8.6%	0.8%	0.7%	0.7%	8.2%	7.0%	7.8%	8.9%	3.7%	2.2%
				Trips	81	58	39	38	105	58	60	51	19	14
				Cost per Developmen	\$40,893	\$10,624	\$5,391	\$4,073	\$161,686	\$36,607	\$107,184	\$51,872	\$54,027	\$13,818
		Medical Office (720)	80,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	8.3%	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	6.6%	2.3%	2.6%	3.0%	3.5%	2.8%
				Trips	78	52	37	36	85	19	20	17	18	18
				Cost per Developmen	\$39,378	\$9,525	\$5,114	\$3,859	\$130,889	\$11,992	\$35,728	\$17,291	\$51,183	\$17,766
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	45 Units	% Trips at Intersection	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%
				Trips	6	5	3	3	9	3	2	2	2	2
				Cost per Developmen	\$3,029	\$916	\$415	\$322	\$13,859	\$1,723	\$3,573	\$2,380	\$5,687	\$1,974
	3a, 3b	Park and Ride	460 spaces	% Trips at Intersection	2.2%	1.4%	0.3%	0.9%	4.8%	5.9%	6.4%	3.8%	1.0%	6.6%
				Trips	21	97	14	52	61	49	49	22	5	43
				Cost per Developmen	\$10,602	\$17,768	\$1,935	\$5,574	\$93,932	\$30,927	\$87,534	\$22,376	\$14,218	\$42,441
		Hotel (310)	120 Rooms	% Trips at Intersection	2.8%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	3.7%	1.0%	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%	1.1%
				Trips	26	16	5	8	48	8	9	9	4	7
				Cost per Developmen	\$13,126	\$2,931	\$691	\$858	\$73,914	\$5,049	\$16,078	\$9,154	\$11,374	\$6,909
		Restaurant (932)	5,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	2.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	2.8%	0.7%	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%	0.8%
				Trips	20	11	3	5	36	6	5	5	3	5
				Cost per Developmen	\$10,097	\$2,015	\$415	\$536	\$55,435	\$3,787	\$8,932	\$5,085	\$8,531	\$4,935
	4	Service Mix - Retail (820)	175,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	16.2%	1.9%	1.7%	1.1%	19.8%	13.3%	14.2%	15.6%	20.7%	16.2%
				Trips	153	131	92	66	254	110	109	90	105	105
				Cost per Developmen	\$77,242	\$24,064	\$12,717	\$7,087	\$391,127	\$69,187	\$194,718	\$91,142	\$299,336	\$103,903
		General Office (710)	70,000 sq. ft	% Trips at Intersection	3.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	2.6%	2.0%	2.2%	2.3%	3.3%	2.6%
				Trips	29	18	14	11	33	16	17	13	17	17
				Cost per Developmen	\$14,641	\$3,297	\$1,923	\$1,179	\$50,816	\$10,338	\$30,369	\$13,619	\$48,340	\$16,779
	5	General Office (710)	105,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	1.8%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	2.4%	0.5%	0.9%	1.0%	2.4%	2.5%
				Trips	17	22	14	19	31	4	7	6	12	16
				Cost per Developmen	\$8,582	\$4,030	\$1,935	\$2,037	\$47,736	\$2,525	\$12,505	\$6,103	\$34,122	\$15,792
	8	General Office (710)	40,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.8%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%
				Trips	8	4	2	1	6	1	3	3	3	3
				Cost per Developmen	\$4,039	\$733	\$276	\$124	\$9,824	\$732	\$5,181	\$3,051	\$8,246	\$3,435
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	70 Units	% Trips at Intersection	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.6%	0.4%
				Trips	2	3	2	1	6	1	2	2	3	3
				Cost per Developmen	\$1,010	\$462	\$232	\$90	\$9,239	\$530	\$3,751	\$1,709	\$8,531	\$2,487
	6	Hi-Tech Office (710)	105,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	1.0%	0.8%
				Trips	3	11	6	6	9	0	2	1	5	5
				Cost per Developmen	\$1,515	\$2,015	\$829	\$643	\$13,859	\$0	\$3,573	\$1,017	\$14,218	\$4,935

2030 Weekday PM Peak Hour - Land Use Breakdown - Cost Allocation- March 2010

Sub Area	Block	Land Use Type (ITE Land-Use Code)	Land Use Size	Improvement Location	County Road C and Cleveland Avenue	County Road C and Snelling Avenue	Snelling Avenue and County Road C2	Snelling Avenue and Lydia Avenue	Cleveland Avenue and I-35W Northbound	Cleveland Avenue and County Road C2	Cleveland Avenue and County Road D	County Road D and I-35W Northbound	County Road D and Fairview Avenue	Fairview Avenue and Lydia Avenue	
				Improvement #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Total Cost of improvements					\$476,580	\$1,276,700	\$742,350	\$623,750	\$1,974,700	\$519,900	\$1,366,600	\$584,850	\$1,443,450	\$639,850	
Improvement Cost to Allocate					\$476,580	\$1,276,700	\$742,350	\$623,750	\$1,974,700	\$519,900	\$1,366,600	\$584,850	\$1,443,450	\$639,850	
II	7	Hi-Tech Office (710)	100,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.6%	0.5%	
				Trips	2	12	4	5	11	0	3	2	3	3	
				Cost per Development	\$1,010	\$2,198	\$553	\$536	\$16,939	\$0	\$5,359	\$2,034	\$8,531	\$2,961	
		Service Mix - Retail (820)	135,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	4.3%	1.5%	1.4%	0.9%	1.4%	0.2%	10.6%	12.2%	30.1%	23.6%	
				Trips	41	104	74	51	18	2	81	70	153	153	
				Cost per Development	\$20,699	\$19,050	\$10,229	\$5,467	\$27,718	\$1,262	\$144,699	\$71,197	\$435,057	\$151,013	
	9	Hi-Tech Office (710)	215,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	3.3%	0.9%	0.6%	0.5%	3.6%	0.3%	1.7%	2.0%	4.6%	3.5%	
				Trips	31	60	31	31	46	3	13	12	23	22	
				Cost per Development	\$15,792	\$10,961	\$4,230	\$3,280	\$71,204	\$1,717	\$23,080	\$11,758	\$65,742	\$22,149	
		General Office (710)	95,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	1.6%	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	1.7%	0.2%	0.8%	0.9%	2.1%	1.6%	
				Trips	15	28	14	14	22	1	6	5	11	11	
				Cost per Development	\$7,431	\$5,158	\$1,990	\$1,544	\$33,508	\$808	\$10,861	\$5,533	\$30,937	\$10,423	
	10	Multi-Family Housing (220)	295 Units	% Trips at Intersection	3.1%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	2.7%	0.6%	2.7%	3.1%	4.5%	3.5%	
				Trips	29	38	8	6	35	5	21	18	23	23	
				Cost per Development	\$14,641	\$6,961	\$1,106	\$643	\$53,895	\$3,156	\$37,515	\$18,308	\$65,401	\$22,701	
III	11	Multi-Family Housing (220)	125 Units	% Trips at Intersection											
				Trips											
				Cost per Development											
	12	General Office (710)	285,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	14.2%	0.9%	0.3%	0.8%	11.5%	24.0%	17.3%	14.1%	9.1%	4.3%	
				Trips	134	64	18	46	148	198	132	81	46	28	
				Cost per Development	\$67,650	\$11,723	\$2,488	\$4,931	\$227,901	\$124,968	\$235,805	\$82,385	\$130,801	\$27,636	
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	130 Units	% Trips at Intersection	3.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	3.6%	6.6%	2.5%	2.1%	1.0%	0.3%	
				Trips	30	9	3	3	46	54	19	12	5	2	
				Cost per Development	\$15,146	\$1,649	\$415	\$322	\$70,834	\$34,082	\$33,942	\$12,205	\$14,218	\$1,974	
N/A	N/A	Year 2030 Background Traffic	N/A	% Trips at Intersection	0.0%	86.7%	88.8%	89.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
				Trips	0	6044	4771	5180	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
				Cost per Development	\$0	\$1,107,101	\$659,472	\$555,249	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
N/A	N/A	Northwestern College	N/A	% Trips at Intersection			2.1%	2.3%						25.3%	
				Trips			111	133							164
				Cost per Development			\$15,343	\$14,256							\$161,870
TOTAL				Total Network Trips	944	6970	5371	5819	1282	824	765	575	508	648	
				Total Network Trips Remaining to Allocate	944	6970	5371	5819	1282	824	765	575	508	648	
				Cost per Developme	\$476,580	\$1,276,700	\$742,350	\$623,750	\$1,974,700	\$519,900	\$1,366,600	\$584,850	\$1,443,450	\$639,850	



Table originally developed by SRF,
updated by Roseville Staff

- Cost allocation assigned as a part of development approval. These items were adjusted to indicate the costs that were allocated at the time of development approval
- The costs associated with the two roundabouts along Twin Lakes Parkway are included in the Twin Lakes Parkway Cost
- The developments included in this scenario include all known developments as of March 2010. Parcels undeveloped were assumed to as identified for Scenario C.
- Any information regarding driveway locations or access that was received
- Background traffic not included in this table

Figure 21: Draft Copy

Indicates an improvement at the intersection

Indicates a segment of roadway that is being improved

2030 Weekday PM Peak Hour - Land Use
Breakdown - Cost Allocation- March 2010

March-10

Sub Area	Block	Land Use Type (ITE Land-Use Code)	Land Use Size	Improvement Location	Fairview Avenue and Terrace Drive	County Road D (Three-Lane Section)	Twin Lakes Parkway	Prior Avenue (South of Twin Lakes Parkway)	Prior Avenue (North of Twin Lakes Parkway)	Fairview Avenue (Three-Lane Section)	Iona Lane	TOTAL
				Improvement #	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
				Total Cost of improvements	\$1,941,200	\$1,747,850	\$8,397,756	\$669,600	\$1,239,923	\$1,585,950	\$426,075	\$25,657,084
				Improvement Cost to Allocate	\$1,941,200	\$1,747,850	\$7,829,597	\$669,600	\$1,085,972	\$1,585,950	\$97,882	\$24,606,781
I	1a	Medical Office (720)	140,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	4.2%	2.4%	15.0%	20.1%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%
				Trips	40	31	299	140	433	0	0	2,050
				Cost per Development	\$81,137	\$42,553	\$1,174,636	\$134,304	\$434,188	\$0	\$0	\$2,850,070
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	70 Units	% Trips at Intersection	0.2%	0.2%	1.1%	1.3%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
				Trips	2	2	22	9	37	0	0	136
				Cost per Development	\$4,057	\$2,705	\$86,428	\$8,634	\$37,102	\$0	\$0	\$207,479
	1b	Hi-Tech Office (710)	140,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	1.7%	0.8%	5.8%	9.9%	18.2%	0.2%	5.9%	2.6%
				Trips	16	10	116	69	197	4	1	823
				Cost per Development	\$32,455	\$13,526	\$455,712	\$66,193	\$197,541	\$3,268	\$5,758	\$1,154,658
	2	General Office (710)	215,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	4.5%	0.4%	12.2%	13.8%	28.2%	0.7%	82.4%	3.9%
				Trips	43	5	243	96	305	14	14	1,243
				Cost per Development	\$87,222	\$6,763	\$954,637	\$92,094	\$305,837	\$11,438	\$80,609	\$2,024,775
		Medical Office (720)	80,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	8.5%	0.0%	14.2%	15.0%	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%	2.7%
				Trips	81	0	283	105	4	18	0	871
				Cost per Development	\$164,302	\$0	\$1,111,779	\$100,728	\$4,362	\$14,705	\$0	\$1,718,602
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	45 Units	% Trips at Intersection	0.7%	0.0%	1.4%	1.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
				Trips	7	0	27	8	1	0	0	80
				Cost per Development	\$14,199	\$0	\$106,071	\$7,674	\$652	\$0	\$0	\$162,473
	3a, 3b	Park and Ride	460 spaces	% Trips at Intersection	4.6%	0.0%	8.9%	14.3%	15.6%	2.2%	77.0%	3.3%
				Trips	44	0	195	100	200	43	57	1,052
				Cost per Development	\$89,251	\$0	\$568,159	\$95,931	\$153,952	\$35,130	\$328,193	\$1,597,921
		Hotel (310)	120 Rooms	% Trips at Intersection	0.6%	0.0%	2.0%	1.6%	3.6%	0.4%	5.9%	0.8%
				Trips	6	0	39	11	39	7	1	243
				Cost per Development	\$12,171	\$0	\$153,213	\$10,552	\$39,107	\$5,719	\$5,758	\$366,603
		Restaurant (932)	5,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	0.4%	0.0%	1.5%	1.1%	2.7%	0.3%	5.9%	0.5%
				Trips	4	0	29	8	29	5	1	175
				Cost per Development	\$8,114	\$0	\$113,928	\$7,674	\$29,080	\$4,085	\$5,758	\$268,406
	4	Service Mix - Retail (820)	175,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	14.8%	0.0%	24.7%	11.6%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	6.3%
				Trips	142	0	492	81	0	105	0	2,036
				Cost per Development	\$288,036	\$0	\$1,932,846	\$77,704	\$0	\$86,002	\$0	\$3,655,111
		General Office (710)	70,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	2.6%	0.0%	3.9%	2.3%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1.0%
				Trips	25	0	77	16	0	17	0	321
				Cost per Development	\$50,711	\$0	\$302,498	\$15,349	\$0	\$13,888	\$0	\$573,746
	5	General Office (710)	105,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	8.6%	0.2%	6.5%	2.3%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1.2%
				Trips	82	3	130	16	0	16	0	395
				Cost per Development	\$166,331	\$4,058	\$510,711	\$15,349	\$0	\$13,071	\$0	\$844,887
	8	General Office (710)	40,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	2.0%	0.1%	1.9%	1.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%
				Trips	19	2	38	8	0	3	0	105
				Cost per Development	\$38,540	\$2,354	\$149,285	\$7,674	\$0	\$2,843	\$0	\$236,338
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	70 Units	% Trips at Intersection	1.1%	0.2%	1.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%
				Trips	11	2	22	2	0	3	0	63
				Cost per Development	\$22,313	\$2,705	\$86,428	\$1,919	\$0	\$2,059	\$0	\$143,464
	6	Hi-Tech Office (710)	105,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	1.5%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
Trips				14	2	8	0	0	5	0	77	
Cost per Development				\$28,398	\$2,705	\$31,428	\$0	\$0	\$4,085	\$0	\$109,220	

2030 Weekday PM Peak Hour - Land Use
Breakdown - Cost Allocation- March 2010

March-10

Sub Area	Block	Land Use Type (ITE Land-Use Code)	Land Use Size	Improvement Location	Fairview Avenue and Terrace Drive	County Road D (Three-Lane Section)	Twin Lakes Parkway	Prior Avenue (South of Twin Lakes Parkway)	Prior Avenue (North of Twin Lakes Parkway)	Fairview Avenue (Three-Lane Section)	Iona Lane	TOTAL	
				Improvement #	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		
				Total Cost of improvements	\$1,941,200	\$1,747,850	\$8,397,756	\$669,600	\$1,239,923	\$1,585,950	\$426,075	\$25,657,084	
				Improvement Cost to Allocate	\$1,941,200	\$1,747,850	\$7,829,597	\$669,600	\$1,085,972	\$1,585,950	\$97,882	\$24,606,781	
II	7	Hi-Tech Office (710)	100,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	1.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	
				Trips	10	3	7	0	0	3	0	68	
				Cost per Development	\$20,284	\$4,058	\$27,500	\$0	\$0	\$2,451	\$0	\$94,413	
		Service Mix - Retail (820)	135,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	16.7%	6.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%	0.0%	3.6%	
				Trips	160	79	7	0	0	153	0	1,146	
				Cost per Development	\$324,548	\$106,857	\$27,500	\$0	\$0	\$124,996	\$0	\$1,470,289	
	9	Hi-Tech Office (710)	215,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	9.0%	0.8%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	1.4%	
				Trips	86	10	46	0	0	23	0	437	
				Cost per Development	\$173,795	\$13,797	\$181,656	\$0	\$0	\$18,888	\$0	\$618,048	
		General Office (710)	95,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	4.2%	0.4%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	
				Trips	40	5	22	0	0	11	0	205	
				Cost per Development	\$81,786	\$6,493	\$85,485	\$0	\$0	\$8,889	\$0	\$290,846	
	10	Multi-Family Housing (220)	295 Units	% Trips at Intersection	11.0%	1.2%	2.6%	2.1%	0.4%	1.4%	0.0%	1.3%	
				Trips	105	15	52	15	4	27	0	424	
				Cost per Development	\$212,984	\$20,289	\$204,285	\$14,390	\$4,011	\$22,058	\$0	\$702,342	
III	11	Multi-Family Housing (220)	125 Units	% Trips at Intersection									
				Trips									
				Cost per Development									
	12	General Office (710)	285,000 sq. ft.	% Trips at Intersection	1.9%	3.6%	1.5%	1.6%	2.7%	1.4%	0.0%	3.3%	
				Trips	18	47	29	11	29	28	0	1,057	
				Cost per Development	\$36,512	\$63,573	\$113,928	\$10,552	\$29,080	\$22,875	\$0	\$1,192,809	
		Multi-Family Housing (220)	130 Units	% Trips at Intersection	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	
				Trips	2	5	5	3	5	2	0	205	
				Cost per Development	\$4,057	\$6,763	\$19,643	\$2,878	\$5,014	\$1,634	\$0	\$224,773	
	N/A	N/A	Year 2030 Background Traffic	N/A	% Trips at Intersection	0.0%	82.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	74.9%	0.0%	57.6%
Trips					0	1071	0	0	0	1454	0	18,520	
Cost per Development					\$0	\$1,448,651	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,187,867	\$0	\$4,958,341	
N/A	N/A	Northwestern College	N/A	% Trips at Intersection								1.3%	
				Trips									408
				Cost per Development									\$191,469
TOTAL				Total Network Trips	957	1292	2188	698	1283	1941	74	32139	
Total Network Trips Remaining to Allocate				957	1292	1993	698	1083	1941	17	31687		
Cost per Development				\$1,941,200	\$1,747,850	\$8,397,756	\$669,600	\$1,239,923	\$1,585,950	\$426,075	\$25,657,084		

 Table originally developed by SRF,
updated by Roseville Staff

Figure 21

Figure 21: Draft Copy